Santa Barbara City College

Student Equity Plan



2015-2016

Santa Barbara City College | 2015-2016 Student Equity Plan | Page 1

SANTA BARBARA CITY COLLEGE STUDENT EQUITY PLAN 2015-2016

Table of Contents

| Signature Page | 3 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Executive Summary | 6 |
| Planning Committee and Collaboration | 16 |
| Access | 19 |
| CAMPUS-BASED RESEARCH: ACCESS | 20 |
| GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: ACCESS | 26 |
| Course Completion | 32 |
| CAMPUS-BASED RESEARCH: COURSE COMPLETION | 33 |
| GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: COURSE COMPLETION | 35 |
| ESL and Basic Skills Completion | 54 |
| CAMPUS-BASED RESEARCH: ESL AND BASIC SKILLS COMPLETION | 55 |
| GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: ESL AND BASIC SKILLS COURSE COMPLETION | 57 |
| Degree and Certificate Completion | 61 |
| CAMPUS-BASED RESEARCH: DEGREE AND CERTIFICATE COMPLETION | 62 |
| GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: DEGREE AND CERTIFICAT COMPLETION | |
| Transfer | |
| CAMPUS-BASED RESEARCH: TRANSFER | 77 |
| GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: TRANSFER | 79 |
| Other College-Wide Initiatives | 91 |
| GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: AFFECTING SEVERAL INDICATORS | 92 |
| Summary Budget | 108 |
| Summary Evaluation | 116 |
| Appendices | 119 |
| Appendix A: Math Lab Success Rates by Number of Visits | 120 |
| Appendix B: Express to Success Program | 122 |
| Appendix C: STEM Transfer Program (STP) | 124 |

Signature Page



Santa Barbara City College Student Equity Plan Signature Page

District: Santa Barbara Community College District Board of Trustees Approval Date: Dec. 10, 2015

I certify that this plan was reviewed and approved by the district board of trustees on the date shown above. I also certify that student equity categorical funding allocated to my college or district will be expended in accordance the student equity expenditure guidelines published by the California Community College Chancellor's Office (CCCCO).

Lori Gaskin, President

Email: lgaskin@sbcc.edu

I certify that student equity categorical funding allocated to my college will be expended in accordance the student equity expenditure guidelines published by the CCCCO.

Joseph Sullivan, Vice President, Business Services Email: <u>sullivanj@sbcc.edu</u> Chief Business Officer

I certify that was involved in the development of the plan and support the research goals, activities, budget and evaluation it contains.

Marilynn Spaventa, Interim Executive Vice President, Educational Programs Chief Instructional and Student Services Officer

Email: spaventa@sbcc.edu

I certify that Academic Senate representatives were involved in the development of the plan and the Senate supports the research goals, activities, budget and evaluation it contains.

Kimberly Monda, Academic Senate President Email: mo

Email: monda@sbcc.edu

I certify that Classified Senate representatives were involved in the development of the plan and the Senate supports the research goals, activities, budget and evaluation it contains.

Liz Auchincloss, California School Employees Association (CSEA) President

Email: auchincl@sbcc.edu

I certify that Associated Student Body representatives were involved in the development of the plan and supports the research goals, activities, budget and evaluation it contains.

Aurila

Isaac Eaves, President, Associated Student Government

Email: iveaves@pipeline.sbcc.edu

Marilynn Spaventa, Interim Executive Vice President, Educational Programs Student Equity Contact Email: spaventa@sbcc.edu

Phone (805)965-0581 ext. 4451

Executive Summary



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Santa Barbara City College (SBCC) is located in Santa Barbara and serves the cities of Santa Barbara, Carpinteria and Goleta in Santa Barbara County. In fall 2014, the college served 19,935 credit students and 3,439 non-credit students. The student population is diverse and representative of its local service area. All minority student groups at SBCC are now at proportions exceeding those in the district's adult population, fostering a climate of social and cultural diversity. Over the past decade, the ethnic composition of the SBCC student body has changed dramatically from 58% White to an ethnic plurality of 47% White and 35% Hispanic. SBCC serves an increasing number of economically-disadvantaged students (defined as either in Educational Opportunity Program Services and/or receiving federal and/or state financial aid), with this number having increased from 34% to 48% between fall 2008 and fall 2013. Of the students enrolled in fall 2013, 68% of Hispanics are low-income, compared with 38% of non-Hispanics.

The college has a history of commitment to social justice and equity. For example, each year since 1991, in memory of an SBCC student who was murdered off-campus because of his ethnicity, the college invites a speaker for an all-campus event focused on building and increasing an understanding of racial and ethnic differences alongside shared commonalities. The college's three federally-sponsored Hispanic Serving Institutions (HSI) grant projects created programs to increase success rates of Hispanic and low-income students in basic skills and transfer. Another example is that the Multicultural English Transfer (MET) program offers courses across the English sequence based on a shared set of core principles that link critical thinking to a multicultural, global perspective. Student organizations also represent the college's commitment to diversity with examples including Movimiento Estudiantil Chicano de Aztlán (MEChA), Society for Advancing Chicanos/Hispanics and Native Americans in Science (SACNAS), the Ethnic Studies Club, and the Society for Hispanic Professional Engineers (SHPE).

SBCC's mission statement includes a focus on access and success for all students:

Santa Barbara City College provides students a diverse learning environment that inspires curiosity and discovery, promotes global responsibility, and fosters opportunity for all.

Furthermore, in the college's strategic plan, Strategic Direction 1 is to "Foster student success through exceptional programs and services," with the goal to build or enhance programs that advance student equity, access, and success across all subgroups.

The college's equity plan first aimed to identify those students who are experiencing disproportionate impact in the five indicators: access, course completion, ESL/basic skills completion, degree/ certificate completion, and transfer, and commit to setting goals to close the equity gaps found in the data. The table below presents by indicator those student groups where equity gaps were found and the goals the college has set for each of these groups.

| A. Access | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------|--|--|
| Target Population | Current gap, year | Goal | Goal Year | | |
| Females (STEM majors) | -4.8, 2014 | Reduce gap to 2% or less | 2017 | | |
| B. Course Completion | | | | | |
| Target Populations | Current gap, year | Goal | Goal Year | | |
| Foster Youth | -33, 2014 | Reduce gap to 20% or less | 2017 | | |
| African American | -21, 2014 | Reduce gap to 10% or less | 2017 | | |
| Hispanic | -6, 2014 | Reduce gap to 2% or less | 2017 | | |
| Low Income | -6, 2014 | Reduce gap to 2% or less | 2017 | | |
| C. ESL/Basic Skills Comp | letion | | | | |
| Target Populations | Current gap, year | Goal | Goal Year | | |
| Hispanic | -14, 2014 | Reduce gap to 7% or less | 2019 | | |
| African American | -12, 2014 | Reduce gap to 6% or less | 2019 | | |
| Low income | -11, 2014 | Reduce gap to 5% or less | 2019 | | |
| D. Degree/Certificate Co | ompletion | | | | |
| Target Populations | Current gap, year | Goal | Goal Year | | |
| African American | -14, 2012 | Reduce gap to 7% or less | 2020 | | |
| Foster youth | -10, 2012 | Reduce gap to 5% or less | 2020 | | |
| Disabled | -8, 2012 | Reduce gap to 4% or less | 2020 | | |
| Hispanic | -6, 2012 | Reduce gap to 3% or less | 2020 | | |
| E. Transfer | | | | | |
| Target Populations | Current gap, year | Goal | Goal Year | | |
| Asian | -8, 2011 | Reduce gap to 4% or less | 2020 | | |
| Hispanic | -8, 2011 | Reduce gap to 4% or less | 2020 | | |
| Disabled | -6, 2011 | Reduce gap to 3% or less | 2020 | | |
| African American | -5, 2011 | Reduce gap to 3% or less | 2020 | | |

Santa Barbara City College – Success Indicators and Goals for Targeted Groups

In reviewing these data, the college learned quite a bit about exactly who is struggling the most on campus, and therefore, are in most need of the college's support. These data first confirmed that the college has done well to increase access among underrepresented students, as we did not find any disproportionate impact. We have also made significant progress in improving success among Hispanic and low-income students with programs like the Express to Success Program (ESP), however, there are still gaps as evidenced by the fact that Hispanic and lowincome students still have equity gaps across the four success indicators.

We learned a significant lesson learned about African-American students at SBCC. In the past, the college has used the small proportion of African Americans on campus as a reasonable excuse not to focus on their needs, turning our attention to Hispanics due to their larger numbers in our community and on the campus. However, the data are telling us that this group is struggling as evidenced by the equity gaps across the four success indicators. The time has come to provide these students with increased levels of attention and support.

We were also surprised to learn about the equity gaps disabled students are experiencing in the areas of degree/certificate completion and transfer. Given the support available to disabled students through Disabled Students Programs and Services (DSPS), we are uncertain as to why this group is experiencing disproportionate impact in degree/certificate completion and transfer. Similarly, we were surprised to learn that an equity gap exists among Asian students in terms of transfer. Since Asians did not demonstrate an equity gap in any of the other four indicators, we are uncertain as to why there is an equity gap in transfer. As a result, we plan to have our new research analyst examine what factors may be contributing to this gap.

Santa Barbara City College has a long history of taking a deliberate and intentional approach to improving student success. A key part of this approach is to conduct research to learn more about the issues and reasons that may be contributing to the problems students are experiencing. Another important component is to explore whether other colleges have experienced success in implementing interventions that address the specific issues and/or groups where we have identified a need. To this end, the college has opted to take the next year to conduct a significant amount of research to learn more about the experiences of African-American, disabled, and Asian students at SBCC and identify effective practices at other colleges aimed at closing equity gaps that could be adapted to meet the needs of these groups at SBCC.

Our equity plan intends to identify activities designed to close the equity gaps found in the data. The series of tables below presents the activities the college has identified to meet the goals identified under each indicator. Each table is followed by a brief summary of the college's approach to addressing the equity gaps in that indicator. *Please note: Clicking on an activity in one of these tables takes you to that activity's detailed information in the plan.*

| A. A | A. Access | | | | |
|------|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| ID | Activity | Description | Targeted Groups | | |
| A.1 | <u>HERLife</u> | Local high school outreach event aimed at increasing the | Female (CTE/STEM | | |
| | | proportion of females pursuing STEM majors and | majors) | | |
| | | technical careers | | | |
| A.2 | Improving Basic Skills | Conduct research to identify effective practices that have | n/a | | |
| | Placement Levels | reduced the number and proportion of students placing | | | |
| | <u>Research</u> | into basic skills English, math and English as a Second | | | |
| | | Language | | | |

When the college examined participation rates by ethnicity, we learned that none of the underrepresented groups were experiencing disproportionate impact, thus indicating the college is doing well in attracting and recruiting students from these groups. We then examined whether any groups were experiencing disproportionate impact in relation to any of the Student Success and Support Programs (SSSP) areas of counseling, orientation and counseling. However, once again we did not find equity gaps among any of the underrepresented groups. In fact, students from the special population groups were actually more likely to have participated in orientation, have an education plan and have seen a counselor. We also looked at whether any groups were more likely to register late for classes because students who register late are less likely to be successful, but again, no disproportionate impact.

Finally, we examined placement into basic skills and found several groups to be placing into basic skills in higher proportions than the average. In other words, students from these groups are more likely to be placed in basic skills. Specifically, we found the following groups to have significant disproportionate impact:

- English African-American, male, Hispanic, Asian, low-income, disabled, foster youth, and veteran students (listed in descending order of highest equity gap)
- Math foster youth, Native American, veteran, African-American, disabled, low-income, and Hispanic students (listed in descending order of highest equity gap)
- English as a Second Language (ESL) Hispanic, low-income, and male students (listed in descending order of highest equity gap)

The college believes that instead of trying to implement a program to raise students' placement levels in a rushed manner, it would be better to take the time to research practices and develop an approach that fits SBCC and its students. For example, the college will be experimenting with using student grades in their high school English and math courses to determine their placement levels. This research will examine whether a higher percentage of students would be placed and then succeed in a higher level English or math course than they would have been based on their scores on the college's assessment tests along with other factors. SBCC has a long history of taking a deliberate and measured approach to serving our students. This approach has resulted in a number of efforts that have helped improve outcomes for students, especially underserved students.

| B. C | B. Course Completion | | | | |
|------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| ID | Activity | Description | Targeted Groups | | |
| B.1 | Math Lab Teaching | Teaching Full-time position that will allow the hours of the Math | | | |
| | <u>Assistant</u> | Lab to be expanded to accommodate students' | Hispanic | | |
| | | schedules | Low income | | |
| B.2 | African-American | Pair African-American students with professional | African American | | |
| | Mentoring Program | African-American role models | | | |
| B.3 | Early Intervention for | Place at-risk students into peer groups who meet | Latino | | |
| | BioMD | regularly with tutors and mentors | Low income | | |
| B.4 | ESL Bridge to CTE | Contextualized CTE classes designed to help ESL | Latino | | |
| | | students improve their success in CTE courses | Low income | | |
| B.5 | Outreach Librarian | Dedicated librarian to work closely with faculty and | Foster youth | | |
| | | staff in outreach and teaching efforts related to equity | African American | | |
| | | issues | Latino | | |
| | | | Low income | | |
| B.6 | Gateway Coordinator | Full-time position to coordinate the in-class tutor | Foster youth | | |
| | | program and ensure increased access to tutoring | African American | | |
| | | | Latino | | |
| | | | Low income | | |
| B.7 | Learning Research Center | Full-time position to support the Writing Center and | Foster youth | | |
| | Senior Office Assistant | ensure increased access to tutoring | African American | | |
| | | | Latino | | |
| | | | Low income | | |
| B.8 | Tutorial Research | Conduct research to inform the design and | Foster youth | | |
| | | implementation of effective tutorial interventions and | African American | | |
| | | services that target groups experiencing | Latino | | |
| | | disproportionate impact | Low income | | |

The college has decided to devote considerable resources and efforts to improving course completion. The activities we are pursuing are all designed to provide students with additional instructional support both in and out of class. The college has experienced long-term success with its approaches within the Writing Center, Math Lab and Gateway programs, with students using these services consistently outperforming their peers who do not use the services. By devoting significant resources in these three areas, our aim is to broaden the reach of these programs and thereby broaden the impact on the success of more students, especially those from the targeted groups. In addition, we are piloting models within specific areas with the expectation of identifying practices that can be scaled in the near future to reach a larger number of students. Finally, we are making our initial effort to reach out specifically to our African-American student population by helping them feel a greater sense of connectedness at the college and demonstrating to them that the college cares about their success. Our hope is that the mentoring program will become part of a larger initiative to provide comprehensive support to our African-American students.

| C. E | C. ESL/Basic Skills Completion | | | | |
|-------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| ID | Activity | Description | Targeted Groups | | |
| C.1 | Express to Success | Accelerated learning communities that include tutoring | Hispanic | | |
| | Program and counseling and help students complete two basic African American | | | | |
| | | skills courses in one semester | Low income | | |

Based on the remarkable success of our Express to Success Program (ESP) in helping Hispanic and low-income students complete the basic skills sequence and progress to and through transfer-level English and math at a rate that is consistently two to three times higher than their counterparts not in ESP, the college has decided that institutionalizing and expanding ESP is an institutional priority. The comprehensive support that ESP students receive in and outside of class from their instructors, tutors, counselors and fellow students is the key to the program's success. We are confident that by increasing the number of students participating in ESP, we can begin to close equity gaps.

| D. D | D. Degree/Certificate Completion | | | | |
|------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| ID | Activity | Description | Targeted Groups | | |
| D.1 | <u>Guardian Scholars</u> | Program that provides academic and personal support, resources, and a physical space for foster youth through a case management approach | Foster youth | | |
| D.2 | Career Technical Education (CTE) Student Program Advisor | Full-time position to support CTE students through a case management approach complete their degrees/certificates | African American Disabled Hispanic Foster youth | | |
| D.3 | EOPS Student Program Advisor | Full-time position to support foster youth and Hispanic students through a case management approach to increase their degree/certificate completion rates | Hispanic Foster youth | | |
| D.4 | <u>Disabled Students</u> <u>Research</u> | Conduct research into the barriers disabled students face that prevent them from completing degrees/certificates and effective practices that can help improve these students' completion rates | Disabled | | |

The college has committed significant resources to implement a case management approach in order to help students achieve the long-term goal of completing degrees and certificates. Based on the success of programs such as EOPS, where case management is a significant and required component of the program, we are confident that by increasing the number of students, especially those from targeted groups, who have access to a dedicated advisor or counselor, we can increase students' likelihood of experiencing the six factors associated with student success (directed, focused, nurtured, engaged, connected and valued). It is important to note here though that we were puzzled by the findings that our disabled students are experiencing disproportionate impact in terms of completing degrees and certificates. Therefore, we are dedicating resources to researching what factors may be behind this particular equity gap among our students and identifying practices that have demonstrated proven success with helping disabled students achieve success.

| Ε. Τ | E. Transfer | | | |
|------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--|
| ID | Activity | Description | Targeted Groups | |
| E.1 | STEM Transfer Program | Support program that provides STEM majors with dedicated counseling, tutoring, and high-impact practices that all aid in increasing their chances of transferring | Hispanic African American | |
| E.2 | STEM Transfer Center Coordinator | Full-time position to coordinate STEM Transfer Center and STEM Transfer Program | Hispanic African American | |
| E.3 | STEM Transfer Program Student Program Advisor | Full-time position to support STEM Transfer Program | Hispanic African American | |
| E.4 | <u>African-American Student</u> <u>Research</u> | Conduct research into the barriers African-American students face that prevent them from transferring (and completing degrees/certificates) and effective practices that can help improve these students' transfer and completion rates | African American | |
| E.5 | Foundations for Accelerating Equity | Infusion of non-cognitive, affective pedagogical techniques into introductory courses to increase student engagement and enhance learning | African American Hispanic Asian Disabled | |

Similar to our efforts in relation to degree/certificate completion, the college is dedicating considerable resources to providing STEM students with a goal of transfer from groups experiencing equity gaps with a case manager who will track their progress and provide ongoing support along their educational journeys. The college has also decided to devote resources to learning more about the experiences of the African-American students attending SBCC and identifying effective practices that we can adopt to help close equity gaps for these students. Finally, we are attempting to recognize the importance of connecting students to course content by making courses more relevant to our diverse student population and thus engaging all students. One of the most effective steps we can take in order to achieve equity for student groups experiencing disproportionate impact is to embrace non-cognitive techniques to foster student empowerment and success.

Please note that the items in the next table involve professional development or equity coordination, and therefore, are intended to serve equity efforts in general and not meant to target any specific student groups, but instead all groups experiencing equity gaps.

| F. (| F. College-wide Initiatives | | | | |
|------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| ID | Activity | Description | Targeted Groups | | |
| F.1 | Director of Equity, | Full-time position to oversee implementation of | n/a | | |
| | Diversity and | the equity plan and coordinate the offering of | | | |
| | Multicultural Education | events and other professional development | | | |
| | | designed to increase cultural competency among | | | |
| | | faculty, staff, students and administrators | | | |
| F.2 | Research and Assessment | Full-time position to conduct quantitative and | n/a | | |
| | <u>Analyst</u> | qualitative research in support of the equity plan | | | |
| F.3 | Faculty Inquiry Groups | Four groups of faculty who will research equity | n/a | | |
| | | theory and then apply learned principles and | | | |
| | | practices in their classrooms | | | |
| F.4 | Career Technical | Meetings of CTE faculty to evaluate the challenges | n/a | | |
| | Education (CTE) Summit | and opportunities targeted groups experience, and | | | |
| | | identify potential solutions to increase CTE | | | |
| | | students chances of completing a certificate or | | | |
| | | degree | | | |
| F.5 | Equity-Focused | Programming through speakers, workshops, and | n/a | | |
| | Professional | training that will engage faculty and staff on the | | | |
| | Development | topic diversity and equity | | | |
| F.6 | Just Communities and | A phased process to eliminate equity gaps and | n/a | | |
| | <u>Speakers</u> | improve outcomes for its target populations that | | | |
| | | involves equity training of, gathering input from | | | |
| | | students from targeted groups, and providing | | | |
| | | ongoing support and coaching | | | |
| F.7 | Diversity in Hiring | Conduct research into our employment practices | n/a | | |
| | <u>Research</u> | as they relate to recruiting, hiring and retaining | | | |
| | | African-American and Hispanic faculty and staff | | | |
| | | and exploring effective practices to improve our efforts | | | |
| | | enorts | | | |

Given the magnitude and complexity of the equity plan, the college needs a position dedicated to overseeing our progress and success in implementing this plan. We want this dedicated position to also be responsible for facilitating an institution-wide vision that reaffirms and promotes our commitment to social justice and equity by increasing the cultural competency among faculty, staff, students and administrators. We also recognize that the data and research demands that are central to our efforts to improve our understanding as well as track our progress need dedicated resources, thus we have included a research analyst position that will be focused on meeting these needs.

We have devoted significant resources to professional development for our faculty and staff aimed at increasing understanding about the issues surrounding equity, exposing them to effective equity practices, and allowing them to explore their own practice and how they can impact student equity. Finally, we acknowledge the need to look inward at our own practices in relation to attracting, hiring and retaining faculty and staff of color in order to provide our students with a faculty and staff that more closely reflects the demographics of our student population. Santa Barbara City College is dedicated to continuous improvement. We have a long history of evaluating any and all efforts aimed at improving student success. Every new initiative or program implemented at the college is required to establish both formative and summative measures designed to identify areas for improvement, and document the impact on student success. We employ both quantitative and qualitative methods to examine objective data on student achievement, while also giving our students a voice and ensuring that voice is being heard and used for improvement. Results are examined both in the short term to determine immediate effects and use that information to make needed course corrections, and over the long term to ensure that we do not lose sight of the bigger picture of students achieving their completion goals. Our approach to the equity plan will be no different.

Every activity in the plan will be required to collect data every semester and submit an analysis of these data to the Student Equity Committee (SEC). In addition, to track the college's performance in relation to closing the identified equity gaps, our research analyst will analyze the data for all targeted groups on all five indicators and share these data with the SEC on a semester or annual basis depending on the indicator. Furthermore, all the activities proposed in this plan will be required to submit an analysis each semester to the SEC. Based on their review, the SEC will forward all recommendations for the continuation or discontinuation of funding for activities to the Academic Senate and the College Planning Council (CPC), the college's shared governance recommending body to the president. In addition, departments taking the lead in implementing an equity-funded activity will be expected to include that activity in their annual program review.

Our goal, ultimately, is twofold. First, we aim to close the identified achievement gaps and demonstrate this accomplishment through efficacious assessment reporting. Second, we want to transform our college culture from the inside-out so that it becomes everywhere evident that our staff, faculty, and students align with the fundamental dedication to acknowledging and meeting the needs of every SBCC student.

For more information on Santa Barbara City College's equity plan, please contact Marilynn Spaventa, Interim Executive Vice President at spaventa@sbcc.edu.

Planning Committee and Collaboration



PLANNING COMMITTEE AND COLLABORATION

The Santa Barbara City College Student Equity Committee (SEC) is comprised of 17 members including faculty, staff, administrator, and student representatives. This committee is co-chaired by an administrator, the Interim Executive Vice President, Educational Programs, and a faculty member, an Associate Professor/Counselor. Committee membership represents programs serving the following targeted student populations: Hispanics, African Americans, foster youth, veterans and disabled. The committee meets twice a month for an hour and a half each time and additional meetings are scheduled as needed.

In 2014, the SEC solicited proposals to address equity gaps across campus. The committee received and reviewed 38 proposals and funded 21 ongoing and one-time projects. In June 2015, additional faculty, students and staff participated in a two-day joint retreat with the Student Success and Support Programs (SSSP) and Student Equity Committees to ensure coordination of efforts, review relevant data, and identify any access or achievement gaps.

Before beginning work on the 2015-16 Student Equity Plan, the SEC again reviewed the college's disproportionate impact data. It then solicited proposals designed to close additional equity gaps identified in the data, with particular attention paid to Guardian Youth and African Americans. Proposals were required to respond to a framework that the college at large and the SEC specifically values: the six success factors from the RP Group's Student Support (Re)defined. In addition, as an outcome of a two-day Equity Retreat, the committee added a requirement for the proposals to also address the 4 Rs: relevance, relationships, rigor and racial justice. A proposal template and scoring rubric were developed incorporating these criteria. Of the seven proposals, three were funded and five research projects were added to address areas where disproportionate impact was identified and that the SEC understood required need further research.

In addition to the SEC, we have formed the Student Equity and Student Success and Support Programs (SSSP) Steering Committee in order to help ensure collaboration on budgeting and activities across the two initiatives. Committee membership includes the chairs from SEC and the SSSP committee, as well as the chair of the Basic Skills Initiative (BSI) Committee and the Executive Vice President for Educational Programs.

Student Equity Plan Committee Membership List

| Member Name | Title | Organization(s), Program(s) or Role(s) Represented |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Ignacio Alarcon | Professor | Mathematics |
| Kiko Almanza | Student Program Advisor | Counseling |
| Ethan Bertrand | Student | Student Senate |
| Dolores Howard | Associate Professor | English as a Second Language |
| Jack Friedlander* | Executive Vice President, Institutional Research | Administration |
| Kelly Lake | Professor | Early Childhood Education |
| Chelsea Lancaster | Student Program Advisor | CalWORKS |
| Kim Monda | Academic Senate President | Academic Senate |
| Kenley Neufeld | Dean, Educational Programs | English as a Second Language, Distance Education |
| Clara Oropeza | Assistant Professor | English |
| Benjamin Partee | Dean, Educational Programs | Disabled Students, Veterans |
| Alice Perez | Dean, Educational Programs | Basic Skills/Learning Support Services |
| Jan Schultz | Professor | Earth Sciences |
| Marilynn Spaventa | Interim Executive Vice President, Educational Programs & SEC Co-Chair | Administration |
| Elizabeth Stein | CTE Coordinator | Career Technical Programs |
| Marsha Wright | Director, EOPS/CARE | EOPS & Guardian Youth |
| Oscar Zavala | Associate Professor/Counselor & SEP Co-Chair | Counseling |

* Ex officio member

Success Indicator: Access



CAMPUS-BASED RESEARCH: ACCESS

A. ACCESS

When the college researched disproportionate impact among participation rates, we learned that we are doing well with traditionally underrepresented groups because none of these groups showed disproportionate impact. Please see table below.

| Target Population(s) | # of your college's total enrollment in Fall 2014 – Spring 2015 | % of your college's total enrollment (proportion) | % of adult population within the community served (proportion) | Gain or loss in proportion |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| American Indian / Alaska Native | 132 | 0.5% | 0.4% | 0.1 |
| Asian | 1,730 | 6.8% | 3.6% | 3.2 |
| Black or African American | 908 | 3.6% | 2.0% | 1.6 |
| Filipino | 341 | 1.3% | 1.2% | 0.1 |
| Hispanic | 9,039 | 35.7% | 33.3% | 2.4 |
| Pacific Islander | 58 | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.0 |
| White | 11,450 | 45.2% | 53.2% | -8.0 |
| Other Non-White | 79 | 0.3% | 0.3% | 0.0 |
| Two or more races | 1,132 | 4.5% | 3.9% | 0.6 |
| Unknown | 465 | 1.9% | 1.9% | 0.0 |
| Total of 8 cells above (Orange cells should = 100%) | 25,334 | 100% | 100% | |
| Males | 11,610 | 45.8% | 49.6% | -3.8 |
| Females | 13,042 | 51.5% | 50.4% | 1.1 |
| Unknown | 682 | 2.7% | 0% | 2.7 |
| Total of 3 cells above (Orange cells should = 100%) | 25,334 | 100% | 100% | |
| Current or former foster youth | 50 | 0.2% | n/a | n/a |
| Individuals with disabilities | 2,231 | 8.8% | n/a | n/a |
| Low-income students | 10,913 | 43.1% | n/a | n/a |
| Veterans | 368 | 1.5% | n/a | n/a |

As a result, we explored other areas related to access beginning with the Student Success and Support Programs (SSSP) areas of orientation, education plans and counseling. We found similar results here where underrepresented groups are showing little to no disproportionate impact. In fact, students from the special population groups (veterans, foster youth, disabled and low income) are more likely to have taken advantage of these SSSP services. We also examined students who register late for the semester (i.e., on or after the first day of class), and once again underrepresented groups show little to no disproportionate impact. In other words, there are no groups that are more likely to be registering late.

We then turned our attention to basic skills placement. We found disproportionate impact for a number of groups, where students from certain groups are more likely to be placed in basic skills. Specifically, we found the following results:

- English African-American, male, Hispanic, Asian, low-income, disabled, foster youth, and veteran students (listed in descending order of highest equity gap)
- Math Foster youth, American-Indian, veteran, African-American, disabled, lowincome, and Hispanic students (listed in descending order of highest equity gap)
- English as a Second Language (ESL) Hispanic, low-income, and male students (listed in descending order of highest equity gap)

The next three tables show the placement results for English, math and ESL, respectively. Please note that for these data, a <u>positive</u> percentage in the gain or loss column indicates that the group is overrepresented in terms of placing into basic skills, and therefore, shows disproportionate impact.

| Target Population(s) | # of total assessed in Fall 2014 | # of students in basic skills English | % of students in basic skills English | Gain or loss in proportion |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| American Indian / Alaska Native | 12 | 8 | 66.67% | -4.67% |
| Asian | 203 | 161 | 79.31% | 7.97% |
| Black or African American | 164 | 141 | 85.98% | 14.64% |
| Filipino | 34 | 16 | 47.06% | -24.28% |
| Hispanic | 1,266 | 1,008 | 79.62% | 8.28% |
| Pacific Islander | 7 | 5 | 71.43% | 0.09% |
| White | 1,820 | 1,178 | 64.73% | -6.61% |
| Other Non-White | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Two or more races | 215 | 139 | 64.65% | -6.69% |
| Unknown | 19 | 12 | 63.16% | -8.18% |
| Total of 8 cells above | 3,740 | 2,668 | 71.34% | |
| Males | 1,737 | 1,436 | 82.67% | 11.33% |
| Females | 1,908 | 1,167 | 61.16% | -10.17% |
| Unknown | 95 | 65 | 68.42% | -2.92% |
| Total of 3 cells above | 3,740 | 2,668 | 71.34% | |
| Current or former foster youth | 12 | 9 | 75.00% | 3.66% |
| Individuals with disabilities | 333 | 256 | 76.88% | 5.54% |
| Low-income students | 1,581 | 1,224 | 77.42% | 6.08% |
| Veterans | 60 | 45 | 75.00% | 3.66% |

English Basic Skills Placement

Math Basic Skills Placement

| Target Population(s) | # of total assessed in Fall 2014 | # of students in basic skills math | % of students in basic skills math | Gain or loss in proportion |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| American Indian / Alaska Native | 11 | 10 | 90.91% | 25.71% |
| Asian | 295 | 85 | 28.81% | -36.39% |
| Black or African American | 152 | 128 | 84.21% | 19.01% |
| Filipino | 34 | 21 | 61.76% | -3.44% |
| Hispanic | 1,252 | 941 | 75.16% | 9.96% |
| Pacific Islander | 7 | 5 | 71.43% | 6.23% |
| White | 1,705 | 1,074 | 62.99% | -2.21% |
| Other Non-White | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Two or more races | 235 | 146 | 62.13% | -3.08% |
| Unknown | 22 | 11 | 50.00% | -15.20% |
| Total of 8 cells above | 3,713 | 2,421 | 65.20% | |
| Males | 1,887 | 1,224 | 64.86% | -0.34% |
| Females | 1,740 | 1,145 | 65.80% | 0.60% |
| Unknown | 86 | 52 | 60.47% | -4.74% |
| Total of 3 cells above | 3,713 | 2,421 | 65.20% | |
| Current or former foster youth | 12 | 11 | 91.67% | 26.46% |
| Individuals with disabilities | 322 | 256 | 79.50% | 14.30% |
| Low-income students | 1,607 | 1,218 | 75.79% | 10.59% |
| Veterans | 65 | 55 | 84.62% | 19.41% |

ESL Basic Skills Placement

| Target Population(s) | # of total assessed in Fall 2014 | # of students in basic skills ESL | % of students in basic skills ESL | Gain or loss in proportion |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| American Indian / Alaska Native | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Asian | 17 | 6 | 35.29% | -34.27% |
| Black or African American | 1 | 1 | 100.00% | 30.43% |
| Filipino | 3 | 0 | 0.00% | -69.57% |
| Hispanic | 121 | 98 | 80.99% | 11.43% |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| White | 14 | 3 | 21.43% | -48.14% |
| Other Non-White | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Two or more races | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Unknown | 5 | 4 | 80.00% | 10.43% |
| Total of 8 cells above | 161 | 112 | 69.57% | |
| Males | 73 | 53 | 72.60% | 3.04% |
| Females | 86 | 58 | 67.44% | -2.12% |
| Unknown | 2 | 1 | 50.00% | -19.57% |
| Total of 3 cells above | 161 | 112 | 69.57% | |
| Current or former foster youth | 0 | 0 | n/a | n/a |
| Individuals with disabilities | 3 | 1 | 33.33% | -36.23% |
| Low-income students | 126 | 97 | 76.98% | 7.42% |
| Veterans | 1 | 0 | 0.00% | -69.57% |

Finally, based on recent attention paid to STEM as a result of the college receiving a federal Hispanic-Serving Institutions – Science, Technology, Engineering and Math (HSI-STEM) grant, the college has identified that women students are underrepresented among STEM majors at SBCC. See table below for these data.

| Target Population(s) | # of your college's total enrollment in Fall 2014 | # of STEM majors | % of STEM majors | Gain or loss in proportion |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Males | 7,675 | 1,474 | 19.21% | 5.40% |
| Females | 8,464 | 761 | 8.99% | -4.81% |
| Unknown | 351 | 41 | 11.68% | -2.12% |
| Total of 3 cells above | 16,490 | 2,276 | 13.80% | |

GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: ACCESS

GOAL A.

The goal is to improve access for the following target populations identified in the college research as experiencing a disproportionate impact:

| Target Population(s) | Current gap, year | Goal | Goal Year |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Females (STEM and CTE majors) | -4.8, 2014 | Reduce gap to 2% or less | 2017 |

ACTIVITIES: A. ACCESS

A.1 HERLife

• Activity Type(s)

| Х | Outreach | utreach Student Equity Coordination/Planning | |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | Student Services or other Categorical | Curriculum/Course Development or | Direct Student Support |
| | Program | Adaptation | |
| | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|--------------|------------------------|
| A.1 | Females | 350 |
| | | |

• Activity Implementation Plan

There is an overwhelming need for an equitable engagement of females when it comes to the technology and STEM fields. With a clear gender gap in the technologies and sciences, colleges need to adapt our outreach modalities to fit the needs of these potential female students. Outreaching to these students requires engagement, inspiration, and exposure in a comfortable environment, with face-to-face contact with advisors, faculty, the campus, and students who look like them. HERLife is designed as an annual all-day event to increase the participation of female local high school students in the technology and STEM fields on the SBCC campus. The technology and STEM fields will be showcased utilizing cross-discipline interactive workshops presented by

technology and STEM faculty. The workshops will highlight various career pathways in the technology and STEM fields therefore exposing potential students to career options and stimulating interest into these areas.

HERLife will also highlight the many student opportunities that will help to assist in the technology and STEM fields at SBCC (e.g., financial aid, clubs, dual enrollment, Transfer center, Express to Success). SBCC will provide transportation for local high school students to the SBCC campus and they will spend the morning being empowered by keynote speakers. During lunch there will be a student support fair, and after lunch students will take part in three workshops/activities presented by faculty from different STEM disciplines.

Beyond this initial contact, students who participate in HERLife will be tracked through a case management approach by the CTE Student Program Advisor (activity D.2). Students will have an advisor that will be available via phone and email, as well as on their high school campus for face-to-face contact. High school seniors who participate will receive support in their transition from high school to SBCC to ensure they complete the required steps for enrollment. Participants will also be notified and encouraged to participate in program/department specific activities/events both at their high school campus as well as on the SBCC campus to help to ensure that students receive more information and guidance regarding their chosen pathway.

One goal of the HERLife program will be to provide local high schools with targeted outreach materials and therefore increase SBCC's presence at a different level in our community. To that end, a specific marketing campaign has been put in to place that engages and informs local high school females as well as local high school administrators and staff in regards to the HERLife event. The marketing campaign includes flyers, posters, pre-registration cards, presentations, and tabling.

The HERLife program coordinator attended the SBCC CTE Summits in fall 2015, in order to better understand the barriers that students in general and underrepresented students have in trying to complete their certificate/degree or high school dual enrollment pathway courses.

| ID | Planned Start and End Date(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds | |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| A.1 | August 3, 2015 to June 30, 2016 | \$36,450 | \$1,500 from CalSOAP | |

• Link to Goal

HERLife will give female students hands-on experience in the CTE and STEM fields through faculty-led workshops in an empowering environment in which they feel safe and a sense belonging to explore these non-traditional careers. SBCC offered a mini-HERLife event in spring 2015 to one local high school. This event was a half-day event that exposed female students to

successful female leaders in career technical education and included their participation in workshops that highlighted associated CTE programs. A total of 56 girls participated (11 seniors, 23 juniors, 6 sophomores, and 16 freshmen). According to fall 2015 enrollment data, 82% of the senior participants (9 out of 11) enrolled at SBCC with 40% of them selecting a CTE program. Of the remaining 45 students, seven enrolled in a CTE dual enrollment class.

Below are two examples of research showing that offering programs that promote STEM and CTE programs to high school females can impact their decision to choose an educational path in STEM or CTE.

- Since 2008, the University of Massachusetts Medical School has offered STEM Career Awareness Conferences to provide middle school students with STEM Career information and to inspire interest in STEM careers. In surveys administered to participants, 60% felt that the conference had changed their career goals and that they were now interested in a STEM profession.
- The annual career fair at the Massachusetts College of Liberal Arts introduces high school students to STEM careers and professionals. When surveyed, 85% of students stated they were planning to take more math and science courses in high school than they had been before participating in the career fair. Two-thirds (66%) indicated they were planning to work harder in their math and science courses. Nearly eighty percent (79%) reported that the career fair helped them realize that the math and science they take in high school will affect their career options. Over one-third (35%) indicated they were thinking about going into a high-tech career more than they were before. More than two-thirds reported that the career fair made them realize that a STEM career could be interesting. Over one-third (34-39%) said they would like more information about careers and/or salaries in STEM fields.

(http://www.mass.edu/stem/documents/Student%20Interest%20Summary%20Report.pdf)

College: Santa Barbara City College

• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of HERLife.

| Evaluation Activity | Description | When Data Will Be Collected | When Data Will Be Analyzed |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Participant Pre- and Post- Surveys | Participants will be asked to indicate their interest in STEM majors both at the beginning and end of the event in order to gauge whether their interest levels have changed and what impact HERLife may have had on any changes. The survey at the end of the event will also ask participants to share their impressions of the event, what worked well and what needs improvement. | During the annual event | Immediately following the annual event |
| Student enrollment | Examine how many participants enroll at SBCC and declare a STEM/CTE major | During the fall semester that immediately follows the annual event | After the end of the fall term |
| Student success rates | Examine success rates among participants and compare their rates to other comparable female STEM/CTE majors | During the fall semester that immediately follows the annual event | After the end of the fall term |
| Student retention rates | Examine retention from fall to spring semester among participants and compare their rates to other comparable female STEM/CTE majors | During the fall semester that immediately follows the annual event | After the end of the fall term |

A.2 Improving Basic Skills Placement Levels Research

Activity Type(s)

| | Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Instructional Support Activities |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Student Services or other Categorical | Curriculum/Course Development or | Direct Student Support |
| | Program | Adaptation | |
| Х | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|--------------|------------------------|
| A.2 | n/a | |
| | | |

• Activity Implementation Plan

Historically, students from underrepresented groups have experienced disproportionate impact in terms of math course placement, with students from these groups being more likely to be placed into basic skills. Since the college has not previously offered programs aimed at increasing the proportion of students who place into transfer-level coursework, we felt that it will be important to focus our initial efforts on researching effective practices. As such, this activity will engage English, math and ESL faculty in identifying practices that have shown evidence of success and could be adopted at SBCC. Faculty will contact colleges engaged in these practices and conduct up to six site visits to these campuses to learn firsthand. After collecting this information, these faculty members will develop a detailed proposal that outlines what practice(s) will be implemented. Based on the success of Math Jam and similar programs at colleges like Canada and Las Positas in improving students' placement levels, we will begin our research here.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| A.2 | February – August 2016 | \$10,000 | n/a |

• Link to Goal

The college believes that instead of trying to implement a program in a rushed manner, it would be better to take the time to research practices and develop an approach that fits SBCC and its students. SBCC has a long history of taking a deliberate and measured approach to serving our students. This approach has resulted in a number of efforts that have helped improve outcomes for students, especially underserved students.

• Evaluation

Evidence that this research project has been successful will be seen in the development of three proposals, one each from English, math and ESL, that identifies how the college will begin its work to ensure that students are being properly placed into the highest levels where they can be successful. The faculty conducting this research will share their findings with their colleagues and work collaboratively to develop their proposal to ensure that the proposal has the full support of the department. The faculty will also report monthly to the Executive Vice President on their progress.

Success Indicator: Course Completion



CAMPUS-BASED RESEARCH: COURSE COMPLETION

B. COURSE COMPLETION. The ratio of the number of credit courses that students, by population group, complete compared to the number of courses in which students in that group are enrolled on the census day of the term. Calculate course completion rates by dividing:

| Rate | Denomi | nator | | Numerator | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------|--|--|
| Rate of Course | The # of courses | students | The number of | courses out c | of 🕒 (the | | |
| Completion | enrolled in and v | vere present | denominator) ii | denominator) in which students earned | | | |
| | in on census day | in the base | an A, B, C, or cr | an A, B, C, or credit in the goal term. | | | |
| | term. | | | | | | |
| Target Population(s) | The # of | | The % of | | | | |
| | courses | The # of | courses passed | | | | |
| *** == !! >>4 4 -! -! - | students | courses in | (earned A, B, C, | | _ | | |
| *** Fall 2014 data | enrolled in | which | or credit) out of | Total (all | Comparison | | |
| | & were | students | the courses | student | to the all | | |
| | present in | earned an | students | average) | student | | |
| | on census | A, B, C, or | enrolled in & | pass rate* | average | | |
| | day in base | credit out of G | were present in | | | | |
| | year | | on census day in base term | | | | |
| American Indian / | 286 | 171 | 60% | 73% | -13 | | |
| Allaska Native | 200 | 1/1 | 0076 | / 5 /0 | -15 | | |
| Asian | 5,023 | 4,129 | 82% | 73% | +9 | | |
| Black or African | 2,337 | 1,204 | 52% | 73% | -21 | | |
| American | 2,337 | 1,204 | 5270 | 7370 | -21 | | |
| Filipino | 707 | 546 | 77% | 73% | +4 | | |
| Hispanic | 19,533 | 13,137 | 67% | 73% | -6 | | |
| Pacific Islander | 108 | 71 | 66% | 73% | -7 | | |
| White | 28,893 | 22,554 | 78% | 73% | +5 | | |
| Other Non-White | 139 | 105 | 76% | 73% | +3 | | |
| Two or more races | 3,124 | 2,150 | 69% | 73% | -4 | | |
| Unknown | 727 | 564 | 78% | 73% | +5 | | |
| All Students | 60,877 | 44,631 | 73% | | | | |
| Males | 29,051 | 20,615 | 71% | 73% | -2 | | |
| Females | 30,509 | 23,100 | 76% | 73% | +3 | | |
| Unknown | 1,313 | 916 | 70% | 73% | -3 | | |
| Current or former | 151 | 60 | 40% | 73% | -33 | | |
| foster youth | | | | | | | |
| Individuals with | 6,046 | 4,219 | 70% | 73% | -3 | | |
| disabilities | | | | | | | |
| Low-income students | 29,587 | 19,803 | 67% | 73% | -6 | | |
| Veterans | 1,090 | 754 | 69% | 73% | -4 | | |

The three student groups experiencing the greatest equity gaps are foster youth, African-American and Native-American students. The gap for all three are significant with 33 percentage points for foster youth, 21 percentage points for African Americans, and 13 percentage points for Native Americans. However, given the very small number of Native-American students at SBCC, we felt it necessary to examine what other groups are experiencing equity gaps. We found that both Hispanic and low-income students are experiencing disproportionate impact with a gap of six percentage points. Since both these groups represent a large number of students at SBCC, we determined to target improving course completion among Hispanics and low-income students in addition to foster youth and African Americans. The table below shows the number of "lost" students who if there were no equity gaps would have succeeded in their courses.

| Equity Gap | Student Group | Gap in comparison to the Average, Expressed as Percentage | Percentage expressed as decimal | Multiply | the # of courses students enrolled in & were present in on census day in base year | = | Number of Students "Lost" |
|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Largest Gap | Foster Youth | 33% | 0.33 | x | 151 | = | 50 |
| Second Largest | African American | 21% | 0.21 | x | 2,337 | = | 491 |
| Third Largest | Native American | 13% | 0.13 | x | 286 | = | 37 |
| Fourth Largest | Hispanic | 6% | 0.06 | x | 19,533 | = | 1,172 |
| Fourth Largest | Low Income | 6% | 0.06 | х | 29,587 | = | 1,775 |

GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: COURSE COMPLETION

GOAL B.

The goal is to improve course completion for the following target populations identified in the college research as experiencing a disproportionate impact:

| Target Population(s) | Current gap, year | Goal | Goal Year |
|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Foster Youth | -33, 2014 | Reduce gap to 20% or less | 2017 |
| African American | -21, 2014 | Reduce gap to 10% or less | 2017 |
| Hispanic | -6, 2014 | Reduce gap to 2% or less | 2017 |
| Low Income | -6, 2014 | Reduce gap to 2% or less | 2017 |

ACTIVITIES: B. COURSE COMPLETION

B.1 Math Lab Teaching Assistant

Activity Type(s)

| Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Х | Instructional Support Activities |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Student Services or other | Curriculum/Course Development or | | Direct Student Support |
| Categorical Program | Adaptation | | |
| Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group(s) | # of Students Affected |
|-----|------------------|------------------------|
| B.1 | African American | 50 |
| | Hispanic | 535 |
| | Low Income | 750 |

• Activity Implementation Plan

The hiring of a second Lab Teaching Assistant (LTA) in the Math Lab has allowed us to expand our lab hours and hire more hourly tutors. Previously, we had a fall/spring schedule of 9am-6pm Monday through Thursday, 9am-2pm Friday and 11am-3pm Saturday (with faculty oversight.) For fall 2015, we have started an 8am-8pm Monday through Thursday, 9am-2pm Friday and 10am-2pm

Saturday schedule. We plan to test further expansion of hours into the evening during our highest volume days. Our summer session hours in previous years have been 9am-3pm Monday through Thursday, 9am-2pm Friday and 11am-3pm Saturday (with faculty oversight.) We were also able to adjust our summer 2015 tutoring schedule to 9am-5pm Monday through Thursday, 9am-2pm Friday and 11am-3pm Saturday, and plan to continue a similar schedule for future summer semesters. Previously, our Saturday hours were staffed by faculty overseeing tutors. This semester, our LTAs have alternated Saturday, giving us approximately 28 additional tutoring hours per week to staff our expanded hours and provide training time for tutors.

Having the additional LTA has allowed us to implement biweekly tutor training meetings on Friday afternoons for our lab tutors. Our tutors previously only had access to a three-hour training offered through the Learning Resource Center and a ten-hour training seminar when space was available. Our new internal training was designed with input from math faculty to cover similar material to the training seminar, but with more focus on math specific concerns. Our structure provides us with team building time with our tutors and allows our more experienced tutors the opportunity for review, reflection and continuing professional development. The addition of the LTA will also allow us to work on testing an appointment scheduling program for students. We are currently working on implementing a group appointment program for Fall 2015 final examinations.

| ID | Planned Start and End Date(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| B.1 | July 2015 – June 2016 (ongoing) | \$97,470 | n/a |
When we examined course completion rates in math specifically, we found that African-American, Hispanic and low-income students were all experiencing disproportionate impact (See table below).

| Target Population(s) ***Fall 2014 data | The # of courses students enrolled in & were present in on census day in base year | The # of courses in which students earned an A, B, C, or credit out of ← | The % of courses passed (earned A, B, C, or credit) out of the courses students enrolled in & were present in on census day in base term | Total (all student average) pass rate* | Comparison to the all student average |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| American Indian/Alaskan Native | 22 | 11 | 50.0% | 61.6% | -11.6% |
| Asian | 413 | 312 | 75.5% | 61.6% | 13.9% |
| Black/African – American | 167 | 65 | 38.9% | 61.6% | -22.7% |
| Filipino | 42 | 33 | 78.6% | 61.6% | 16.9% |
| Hispanic | 1,905 | 1,049 | 55.1% | 61.6% | -6.6% |
| Other Non-White | 6 | 4 | 66.7% | 61.6% | 5.0% |
| Pacific Islander | 7 | 4 | 57.1% | 61.6% | -4.5% |
| Two or More Races | 289 | 177 | 61.2% | 61.6% | -0.4% |
| Unknown | 40 | 27 | 67.5% | 61.6% | 5.9% |
| White | 2,115 | 1,403 | 66.3% | 61.6% | 4.7% |
| All Students | 5,006 | 3,085 | 61.6% | | |
| Low-income students | 2,683 | 1,526 | 56.9% | 61.6% | -4.7% |

Over the past almost 10 years, the college has been tracking how students who use the math lab perform in their math classes compared to students in the same classes who do not use the lab. Consistently over this time, students who use the math lab achieve higher success rates than students who do not. This gap has averaged 10 percentage points. In addition, the data indicate that a relationship between the number of times students visit and their course success, whereby success rates increase with the number of visits. See Appendix A for the math lab data.

When the data for disproportionate impact among math success rates is examined in combination with the math success rate comparison among math lab users and non-user, it appears that if we could get more African-American, Hispanic and low-income students to utilize the lab, we could improve their success rates in math. The first step to increasing usage among these groups is to ensure that the math lab is open at times that meet students' needs by providing additional hours, which adding an LTA allows us to do. We also expect that with these additional hours will not only increase the number of students from these groups visiting the lab, but it will also increase the number of times they do visit, and thereby increasing their chances for success.

• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of Math LTA.

| Evaluation Activity | Description | When Data Will Be Collected | When Data Will Be Analyzed |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Student usage | Examine how many students from the targeted groups are using the | During each fall and | After the end of each |
| | lab | spring semester | fall and spring term |
| Student success rates | Compare math success rates of students in the targeted groups to | During each fall and | After the end of each |
| | determine if users are outperforming non-users and whether the | spring semester | fall and spring term |
| | equity gaps are closing | | |
| Student needs | Survey students in math classes to determine why they are or are not | During each fall and | After the end of each |
| | using the lab, and what changes would make them more likely to use | spring semester | fall and spring term |
| | the lab | | |

B.2 African-American Mentoring Program

Activity Type(s)

| | Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Instructional Support Activities |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Х | Student Services or other | Curriculum/Course Development or | Direct Student Support |
| | Categorical Program | Adaptation | |
| | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|-------------------|------------------------|
| B.2 | African Americans | 60 |
| | | |

• Activity Implementation Plan

Nationwide statistics show that African-American males have the lowest college completion rates compared to other groups of individuals. In fall 2015, there are 701 African-American students enrolled at SBCC. The EOPS program will develop a mentoring program where our African-American students may meet and gain support needed to be academically successful. We will outreach to professional African-American role models on-campus, at the University of California, Santa Barbara, and in the local community to assist us. We will conduct monthly support meetings for the entire group of mentees with scheduled activities, motivational speakers, and mentoring support.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| B.2 | July 2015 – June 2016 (ongoing) | \$30,500 | n/a |

• Link to Goal

Research has shown that mentoring college students has resulted in improved academic performance. One study found that mentored students had earned higher GPAs, completed more units per semester, and were less likely to dropout (Campbell & Campbell, Faculty/Student Mentor Program: Effects on Academic Performance and Retention, *Research in Higher Education*, Volume 38, Issue 6, pp 727-742). Other studies have shown a link between mentoring and students' feelings of engagement, integration and connection at the college, which can in turn increase the chances of them being retained (Yomtov, Plunkett, Efrat,

& Garcia Marin, Can Peer Mentors Improve First-Year Experiences of University Students?, *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice*, October 28, 2015).

A primary goal of the mentoring program is provide African-American students need a place where they feel they belong. To achieve this goal, we aim to ensure that students are experiencing the six success factors from Student Support (Re)defined. The nature of the mentorship program is first and foremost to provide a place of comfort where students will be nurtured and feel connected and valued. Through mentoring, program activities, and guest speakers, students will be exposed to information that can help them become focused and directed in their mission to secure a higher education.

It is the intent of the program that as student mentees experience academic success, gain confidence and improve their selfesteem through this program, they will in turn become peer mentors and recruit new students into the group. We want students to feel a part of this group and will want to be accountable to themselves, their mentors, and their fellow students in the group.

• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of the African-American Mentoring Program.

| Evaluation Activity | Description | When Data Will | When Data Will Be |
|----------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Evaluation Activity | Description | Be Collected | Analyzed |
| Surveys, Focus Groups | Mentors and mentees will be asked to participate in surveys, focus | During each fall | After the end of |
| and/or Interviews | groups, and/or interviews to learn about their experiences in the | and spring | each fall and spring |
| | program, what impact they believe the program is having on students' | semester | term |
| | success, and how the program can be improved | | |
| Student course success | Examine success rates among participants and compare their rates to | During each fall | After the end of |
| rates | other comparable African-American students not participating in the | and spring | each fall and spring |
| | program | semester | term |

B.3 Early Intervention in BioMD

Activity Type(s)

| Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Х | Instructional Support Activities |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Student Services or other | Curriculum/Course Development or | | Direct Student Support |
| Categorical Program | Adaptation | | |
| Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|--------------|------------------------|
| B.2 | Hispanic | 75 |
| | Low income | 75 |

• Activity Implementation Plan

Students enrolled in biomedical science courses face a rigorous, fast-paced, voluminous curriculum that requires time and labor intensive efforts. These students in many instances are entering or re-entering college to begin a career in the health sciences. The challenges they encounter are varied, but often involve basic study skills, time management issues, and organizational skills, especially among first-generation and underrepresented student populations, Hispanic and low-income students. Our biomedical science faculty has struggled for a long time to attempt to provide solutions that would increase learning and student success. The involvement of in-class tutors has helped immensely with creating a broader, directed, and increasingly effective learning model for a significant portion of our students in these courses. However, the faculty recognizes that there remains a significant portion of our students population, namely Hispanic and low-income students, that has not benefited sufficiently and underperform in comparison to their recognized potential. It is our firm belief that these students would benefit from an alternate learning model.

Our pilot, Biomedical Resource and Instructional Network (B.R.A.I.N.), will provide the needed alternate learning model. With 300+ students enrolled in Human Anatomy (BMS 107) each semester, we project forming about 12 peer groups consisting of 8-15 students each. These students will sign a contract requiring them to meet once weekly with tutors with oversight from a facilitator and BMS faculty. In addition, the facilitator would oversee an open laboratory opportunity for these groups each Saturday (four hours to accommodate students' schedules). The faculty will direct an orientation and training meeting for tutors with guidelines similar to those we provide our in-class tutors (including collaboration with an individual from Counseling Services). Faculty mentors will meet regularly with the tutors and the facilitator to offer ongoing training, acquire feedback, set weekly expectations and goals, and collaboratively create activities tied in with pertinent content.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| B.2 | July 2015 – June 2016 | \$22,000 | n/a |

Hispanic and low-income students taking BMS 107 are experiencing disproportionate impact. In fall 2014, the overall course success rate for the course was 52.4%, but for Hispanics, it was 36% (-16.4% point gap) and for low-income students, it was 45.2% (-7.2% point gap). The learning model proposed here is to identify and target, by week two of the semester, those students enrolled in Human Anatomy (BMS 107) who would benefit from a more directed and focused interaction through student learning cohorts.

The B.R.A.I.N pilot is designed around the best practices learned in our Express to Success Program: dedicated tutors coupled with support from faculty and their peers in a cohort model that also includes embedded support services such as career exploration, stress reduction and study skills.

• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of the Early Intervention in BioMD (B.R.A.I.N.).

| Evaluation Activity | Description | When Data Will Be Collected | When Data Will Be Analyzed |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Survey | Participants will be surveyed at the end of the semester asking about | End of each fall | After the end of each |
| their experiences in the program, what impact they believe the program a | | and spring | fall and spring term |
| | had on their success, and how the program can be improved | semester | |
| Student course success | Examine success rates among participants and compare their rates to | End of each fall | After the end of each |
| rates | other comparable Hispanic and low-income students in the class not | and spring | fall and spring term |
| | participating in the program, and to the overall course success rate | semester | |

B.4 ESL Bridge to CTE

Activity Type(s)

| Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Х | Instructional Support Activities |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Student Services or other | Curriculum/Course Development or | | Direct Student Support |
| Categorical Program | Adaptation | | |
| Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|--------------|------------------------|
| B.4 | Hispanic | 35 |
| | Low income | 35 |

• Activity Implementation Plan

The ESL Bridge to CTE project is modeled after I-BEST where basic skills instruction is combined with career technical education (CTE) instruction to help basic skills students enter directly into college-level coursework. In our pilot, students will learn English as a second language while learning the vocabulary and concepts of the CTE course. The I-BEST model was chosen because it is seen as "an effective model for increasing the rate at which adult basic skills students enter and succeed in postsecondary occupational education." In the I-BEST model, basic skills and CTE faculty "jointly design and teach college-level occupational classes that admit basic skills-level students. I-BEST courses must be part of a coherent program of study leading to college credentials and jobs in demand, thus providing a structured pathway to completion and career-path employment" (Wachen, Jenkins, & Van Noy, How I-BEST Works: Findings from a Field Study of Washington State's Integrated Basic Education and Skills Training Program, Community College Research Center (CCRC), September 2010). We anticipate this pilot will demonstrate that we can increase ESL course completion rates by providing language instruction in a CTE context, thus motivating students to move more rapidly to career preparation.

This project will begin with a pilot project in Early Childhood Education (ECE). This discipline was selected because ECE is a growing major for Hispanic students. In addition, students are now able to pursue a bachelor's degree without leaving Santa Barbara. California State University Channel Islands offers upper-division coursework in ECE on the SBCC campus. Antioch University has a local campus in Santa Barbara where students can earn their bachelor's degree in ECE. These options are ideal for low-income students with families, and thus provide a local pathway from ESL to a bachelor's degree.

The first year of the project will focus on researching ESL students' needs, course development, and personalized contact and outreach efforts to recruit students for initial implementation of the program in an ESL Summer Bridge Program. Research will include contacting local employers to learn more about what language and skills potential employees need. The Summer Bridge Program will assist students in acquiring the content knowledge and language skills in order to successfully transition to CTE courses, and in this case ECE.

When we examine our ESL student population, we find that the majority are Hispanic (61%) and/or low income (64%). We plan to target ESL students by placing ads on Spanish-language radio; contacting staff in local preschools, child development programs, and elementary schools; visiting ESL classes (credit and noncredit); and during group and individual advising sessions.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| B.4 | July 2015 – June 2016 | \$16,000 | n/a |

• Link to Goal

Currently, some CTE students struggle with written professional English. The vocabulary in career and technical fields is like a third language these students have to learn. We believe that students' CTE course completion rates will increase because students will be appropriately prepared with language and context. This project will also increase ESL course completion rates because students' language skills will be developed in context.

• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of the ESL Bridge to CTE.

| Evaluation Activity | Description | When Data Will Be Collected | When Data Will Be Analyzed |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Surveys, Focus Groups and/or Interviews | Participants will be asked to participate in surveys, focus groups, and/or interviews to learn about their experiences in the program, what impact they believe the program has had on their success, and how the program can be improved | During each fall and spring semester | After the end of each fall and spring term |
| Student course success rates | Examine success rates among participants and compare their rates to other comparable Hispanic and low-income students in the class not participating in the program, and to the overall course success rate | End of each fall and spring semester | After the end of each fall and spring term |

B.5 Outreach Librarian

Activity Type(s)

| Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Х | Instructional Support Activities |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Student Services or other | Curriculum/Course Development or | | Direct Student Support |
| Categorical Program | Adaptation | | |
| Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|------------------|------------------------|
| B.5 | Foster Youth | 40 |
| | African American | 50 |
| | Hispanic | 100 |
| | Low Income | 150 |

• Activity Implementation Plan

This dedicated librarian will work closely with faculty and staff in numerous outreach and teaching efforts related to equity issues, including the development and offering of workshops and instruction, particularly information competency and critical thinking designed to help underrepresented students achieve equitable outcomes and feel integrated into the academic life of the college. The Outreach Librarian will specifically:

- Create lessons or workshops and assess outcomes
- Hold specialized orientations
- Serve as a designated library mentor for target populations
- Work with other outreach efforts (on and off campus) to ensure access to library services

In order to target students from the disproportionately-impacted groups, this librarian will coordinate outreach, orientation and instruction with programs such as EOPS, Guardian Scholars, Athletics, Running Start, African-American mentoring program, Express to Success program, STEM Transfer Program, and the CTE division.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| B.5 | 5 July 2015 – June 2016 | \$8,500 | n/a |

Over recent years, academic librarians have come to understand that library use is related to student success (grade and retention improvement; helping students feel a deeper connection to a college, particularly in the first year experience). Booth, et al (2015) found that progressive degrees of librarian engagement in course instruction and/or syllabus and assignment design had an increasingly positive impact on student performance. In addition, Soria, Fransen, and Nackerud (2013) found that first-time, first-year undergraduate students who use the library have a higher GPA for their first semester and higher retention to the next year. Finally, Stone and Ramsden (2013) found a statistically significant correlation between students in order to foster a deeper connection to their library and to ensure more equitable access to library orientation and instruction for critical thinking, information literacy and research skills.

References

- Booth, C., Lowe, S.M., Tagge, N., & Stone. S. (2015). Degrees of impact: Analyzing the effects of progressive librarian course collaborations on student performance." *College & Research Libraries News*, *76*(5), 623-651.
- Soria, K. M., Fransen, J., & Nackerud, S. (2013). Library use and undergraduate student outcomes: New evidence for students' retention and academic success. *Portal: Libraries and the Academy, 13*(2), 147-164. Retrieved from http://www.press.jhu.edu/journals/portal libraries and the academy/portal pre print/archive/articles/13.2soria.pdf
- Stone, G., & Ramsden, B. (2013). Library impact data: Looking for the link between library usage and student attainment. *College* & *Research Libraries, 74*(6), 546-559. Retrieved from <u>http://crl.acrl.org/content/74/6/546.full.pdf</u>

• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of the Outreach Librarian.

| Evaluation Activity | Description | When Data Will Be Collected | When Data Will Be Analyzed |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Student surveys and | Participants from the targeted groups will be asked to participate in | During each fall | After the end of each |
| focus groups | surveys and/or focus groups to learn about their experiences in the | and spring | fall and spring term |
| | program, what impact they believe the program has had on their | semester | |
| | success, and how the program can be improved | | |
| Student course success | Examine success rates among participants from the targeted groups | End of each fall and | After the end of each |
| rates | and compare their rates to comparable students who did not | spring semester | fall and spring term |
| | participate in the program, and to the overall course success rate | | |
| Program attendance | Number and proportion of students from the targeted populations | End of each fall and | After the end of each |
| | that attend programs offered by outreach librarian | spring semester | fall and spring term |
| Faculty survey | Faculty will be surveyed to learn about their perceptions and | End of each fall and | After the end of each |
| | observations related to the program's value to students and their | spring semester | fall and spring term |
| | learning | | |
| Student pre- and post- | Students will be tested before and after participating in the program | Beginning and end | After the end of each |
| tests | to discern whether skills taught have been practiced and mastered, | of each fall and | fall and spring term |
| | and awareness of services and resources have been attained | spring semester | |

B.6 Gateway Coordinator

Activity Type(s)

| Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Х | Instructional Support Activities |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Student Services or other Categorical Program | Curriculum/Course Development or Adaptation | | Direct Student Support |
| Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|------------------|------------------------|
| B.6 | Foster Youth | 20 |
| | African American | 264 |
| | Hispanic | 3,054 |
| | Low Income | 4,190 |

• Activity Implementation Plan

The Gateway program is an award-winning campus-wide tutoring program – one that includes basic skills, first-in-sequence, and career technical courses. In 2014-15, 205 faculty, full-time and adjunct, participated in the Gateway program and 180 tutors worked with faculty in the classrooms, labs, Learning Resource Center, library, and departmentally-designated tutoring rooms across the campus. In 2014-15, the Gateway program included 782 class sections, including 320 basic skills sections.

The Gateway Coordinator is essential to the functioning of the ever-growing Gateway Center's population of student users who benefit by its "learning-centered" approach to tutorial assistance. The Gateway Center Coordinator is responsible for the following duties:

- Supervising the Gateway Center Monday through Thursday 8:30-5:00 and Friday 8:30-12:00, which is in part a health and safety responsibility
- Coordinating tutoring activities in the Gateway Center
- Compiling, maintaining, and distributing tutor schedules for all Gateway classes
- Monitoring tutoring hours
- Compiling and maintaining Excel spreadsheets on tutor funding allocations
- Assisting in the maintenance of records/statistics to monitor the Program's effectiveness

- Assisting Gateway co-director in monitoring expenditures to ensure that they do not exceed established budget limitations
- Providing instructional and clerical support related to tutoring activities
- Communicating with and assisting Gateway faculty
- Working with the Tutorial Center Coordinator on tutor hiring, policies and procedure

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|----------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| B.6 | July 1, 2015 – June 30, 2016 (ongoing) | \$60,853 | n/a |

The Gateway Coordinator is essential for the operation of the college's largest tutoring program. The Gateway program makes a significant contribution to course completion for all students, and especially those in developmental math and English. Students using Gateway tutors show a consistently higher rate of course completion than non-users in the same courses. Gateway tutors are now in most basic skills classes, where course completion rates for Gateway sections in developmental reading and writing averaged 71% in spring 2015.

• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of the Gateway Coordinator.

| Evaluation Activity | Description | When Data Will Be Collected | When Data Will Be Analyzed |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Student usage | Examine how many students from the targeted groups are enrolling in | During each fall and spring | After the end of each fall and spring term |
| | Gateway courses | semester | Tall and spring term |
| Student success rates | Compare course success rates of students in the targeted groups in Gateway courses to determine if equity gaps are closing | During each fall and spring semester | After the end of each fall and spring term |
| Student needs | Survey students in courses where Gateway is not being offered to determine why they are not enrolling in Gateway courses, and what changes would make them more likely to enroll | During each fall and spring semester | After the end of each fall and spring term |

B.7 Learning Resource Center (LRC) Senior Office Assistant

• Activity Type(s)

| Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Х | Instructional Support Activities |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Student Services or other | Curriculum/Course Development or | | Direct Student Support |
| Categorical Program | Adaptation | | |
| Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|------------------|------------------------|
| B.7 | Foster Youth | 7 |
| | African American | 88 |
| | Hispanic | 1,018 |
| | Low Income | 1,397 |

• Activity Implementation Plan

The Learning Resource Center (LRC) Senior Office Assistant is essential to the functioning of the ever-growing Writing Center's population of student users who benefit by its "learning-centered" approach to tutorial assistance. The LRC Senior Office Assistant is responsible for the following duties:

- Providing access to the Writing Center tutorial service by taking phone or face-to-face requests for tutoring appointments and by managing the drop-in students so as to minimize wait time and to maximize the value of that wait time by having students engage in the Directed Learning Activities (DLAs) that precede every tutorial session.
- Managing the complex network of software that provides data on Writing Center effectiveness so that appointments are made, marked as kept or not, and tutors and students are coordinated in the schedule and sessions are kept to 30 minutes. This last detail may seem small, but it is critical to our working with as many students as possible on a given day and of our taking maximum advantage of the time tutors are available.
- Working directly with students when they come in by explaining and in many cases guiding students through the essential steps of the DLA which ensures a quality, focused, and mutually understood experience for both the student and the tutor. This is essential to the learning-centered strategies and policies of the Writing Center, such that students' needs are addressed and that they are encouraged to take ownership of their writing and their education.

- Coordinating the observation/training of tutors.
- Contributing substantially to the health and safety of the students and staff who work in the Writing Center through regular dialog with the Writing Center administration and staff, reporting on the flow of students coming through the facility.
- Keeping the many handouts and forms up-to-date and available to students and tutors; also monitoring usage to determine which are most popular, which need to be updated, identifying topics/skills in need of further support, etc.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| B.7 | July 2015 – June 2016 (ongoing) | \$57,903 | n/a |

The LRC Senior Office Assistant is essential for the operation of the college's second largest tutoring programs. Writing Center statistics continue to show (as they have for the past eight years) a substantially higher level of success for students using this service compared to peers in comparable courses who did not: approximately 15% on average. The number of tutoring sessions for the last five years has averaged between 6,500 and 7,500. Data on basic skills students show that in the fall 2014 and spring 2015, Writing Center users were 14% more successful than their peers who did not use the service.

• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of the LRC Senior Office Assistant.

| Evaluation Activity | Description | When Data Will | When Data Will |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Evaluation Activity | Description | Be Collected | Be Analyzed |
| Student usage | Examine how many students from the targeted groups are using the | During each fall | After the end of |
| | center | and spring | each fall and |
| | | semester | spring term |
| Student success rates | Compare course success rates of students in the targeted groups | During each fall | After the end of |
| | using the center to those who are not using the center | and spring | each fall and |
| | | semester | spring term |
| Student needs | Survey students in selected courses to determine why they are or are | During each fall | After the end of |
| | not using the center, and what changes would make them more likely | and spring | each fall and |
| | to use the center | semester | spring term |

B.8 Tutorial Research

Activity Type(s)

| | Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | | Instructional Support Activities |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| | Student Services or other | Curriculum/Course Development or | | Direct Student Support |
| | Categorical Program | Adaptation | | |
| Х | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|------------------|------------------------|
| B.8 | African American | n/a |
| | Hispanic | |
| | Low income | |
| | Foster youth | |

• Activity Implementation Plan

In light of the fact that many discrete student populations are experiencing disproportionate impact in terms of course completion, we believe our best next first steps should focus on research and professional development to assist us in designing and implementing effective interventions and services. This research will consist of surveys, interviews and focus groups both with students from disproportionately impacted groups and the faculty and staff working with these students in our tutorial programs. In addition, this activity will include engaging faculty and staff in researching and identifying effective tutoring practices (both at SBCC as well as other campuses) that have shown evidence of success in improving course completion rates specifically among students from the disproportionately impacted groups.

Faculty and staff will contact colleges engaged in these practices and conduct up to four site visits to these campuses to learn about these practices firsthand. Faculty and staff will also attend key conferences that address issues of student equity. After collecting this information, these faculty and staff will develop a detailed proposal that outlines what practice(s) will be implemented.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| B.8 | February – August 2016 | \$10,000 | n/a |

The college believes that instead of trying to implement a program in a rushed manner, it would be better to take the time to research practices and develop an approach that fits SBCC and its students. SBCC has a long history of taking a deliberate and measured approach to serving our students. This approach has resulted in a number of efforts that have helped improve outcomes for students, especially underserved students.

• Evaluation

Evidence that this research project has been successful will be seen in the development of a proposal that identifies how the college will begin its work to address the barriers and provide tutorial support to disproportionately-impacted students in order to increase their course completion rates. The faculty and staff conducting this research will share their findings with the Student Equity Committee and report monthly to the Executive Vice President on their progress.

Success Indicator: ESL and Basic Skills Completion



CAMPUS-BASED RESEARCH: ESL AND BASIC SKILLS COMPLETION

C. ESL AND BASIC SKILLS COMPLETION. The ratio of the number of students by population group who complete a degree-applicable course after having completed the final ESL or basic skills course compared to the number of those students who complete such a final ESL or basic skills course. Calculate progress rates through basic skills by dividing:

| Rate | Denominator | Numerator | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--|--|
| Rate of ESL and | The # of students who | The # of students out of ⑤ (the | | |
| Basic Skills | complete a final ESL or basic | denominator) that complete a degree | | |
| Completion | skills course with an A, B, C or | applicable course with an A, B, C, or | | |
| credit in the base year | | credit in the goal year | | |

| Target Population(s) | The # of students who complete a final ESL or basic skills course with an A, B, C or credit | The number of students out of | The rate of progress from ESL and Basic Skills to degree- applicable course completion | Total (all student average) completion rate | Comparison to the all student average |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| American Indian / Alaska Native | 56 | 26 | 46% | 40% | +6 |
| Asian | 619 | 385 | 62% | 40% | +22 |
| Black or African American | 86 | 24 | 28% | 40% | -12 |
| Filipino | 37 | 13 | 35% | 40% | -5 |
| Hispanic | 1,648 | 433 | 26% | 40% | -14 |
| Pacific Islander | 37 | 12 | 32% | 40% | -8 |
| White | 1,699 | 775 | 46% | 40% | +6 |
| Other Non-White | 94 | 43 | 46% | 40% | +6 |
| Unknown | 160 | 61 | 38% | 40% | -2 |
| All Students | 4,436 | 1,772 | 40% | | |
| Males | 2,342 | 849 | 36% | 40% | -4 |
| Females | 2,094 | 923 | 44% | 40% | +4 |
| Current or former foster youth | 6 | 2 | 33% | 40% | -7 |
| Individuals with disabilities | 431 | 197 | 46% | 40% | +6 |
| Low-income students | 1,615 | 472 | 29% | 40% | -11 |
| Veterans | 43 | 13 | 30% | 40% | -10 |

The three student groups experiencing the greatest equity gaps are Hispanics, African-Americans and low-income students. The gaps for all three groups are between 11 and 14 percentage points. The table below shows the number of "lost" students who if there were no equity gaps would have succeeded in their courses.

| Equity Gap | Student Group | Gap in comparison to the Average, Expressed as Percentage % | Percentage expressed as decimal 25% becomes .25 | Multiply | The # of students who complete a final ESL or basic skills course with an A, B, C or credit | = | Number of Students "Lost" |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Largest Gap | Hispanic | 14% | 0.14 | х | 1,648 | = | 231 |
| Second Largest | African American | 12% | 0.12 | х | 86 | = | 10 |
| Third Largest | Low income | 11% | 0.11 | х | 1,615 | = | 178 |

GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: ESL AND BASIC SKILLS COURSE COMPLETION

GOAL C.

The goal is to improve ESL and basic skills completion for the following target populations identified in the college research as experiencing a disproportionate impact:

| Target Population(s) | Current gap, year | Goal | Goal Year |
|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Hispanic | -14, 2014 | Reduce gap to 7% or less | 2019 |
| African Americans | -12, 2014 | Reduce gap to 6% or less | 2019 |
| Low income | -11, 2014 | Reduce gap to 5% or less | 2019 |

ACTIVITIES: C. ESL AND BASIC SKILLS COURSE COMPLETION

C.1 Express to Success Program (ESP)

• Activity Type(s)

| | Outreach | | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Х | Instructional Support Activities |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Х | Student Services or other Categorical | Х | Curriculum/Course Development or | Х | Direct Student Support |
| | Program | | Adaptation | | |
| | Research and Evaluation | | Professional Development | | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group(s) | # of Students Affected |
|-----|-------------------|------------------------|
| C.1 | Hispanic | 800 |
| | African Americans | 50 |
| | Low income | 950 |

• Activity Implementation Plan

The Express to Success Program (ESP) was developed through a Title V HSI grant that began in October 2010. Funding ended in September 2015. In 2010-11, math, English and counseling faculty researched and planned the program to address low basic skills completion, degree completion and transfer rates among Latino and low-income students. At the end of that year, the faculty had

developed an accelerated learning community model that allows students who assess at one to two levels below college level in math or English to complete two courses in one semester. Implemented in fall 2011

, ESP offers students the opportunity to complete their developmental classes more quickly and be better prepared so that they can begin taking the courses required for a degree or transfer.

ESP differs from the standard learning community model where different teachers instruct the same cohort of students. Instead, students have one teacher for their classes in all of the math and English models. ESP students take two or more classes together, working collaboratively in class and forming study groups outside of class to support their learning. Students in ESP also receive full support from ESP counselors, who meet with each student prior to enrollment to explain the program and assess the student's placement in an ESP learning community. Students sign the ESP agreement that specifies the commitment necessary to succeed in the program. One important commitment is to become a full-time student by enrolling in at least 12 units. In addition, instructors and counselors work together closely to monitor student progress, and students who are experiencing difficulty in or outside class are referred to the counselors by their instructors. The counselor then contacts these students and meets with them to help them resolve the problem.

Other benefits of the program include book loans and support from dedicated tutors both inside and outside of class. These tutors are former ESP students who have successfully completed the course, usually from the same instructor, and complete an intensive tutor training program that stresses identifying students' needs and providing supplemental instruction in targeted areas. These peer tutors are especially effective in working with students since they have personal knowledge of the class, have been successful in the class themselves, and are attending all of the class meetings in order to know what is being taught in the class at any given time. The tutors work in small groups or one-on-one with students in class and meet with students who require additional support outside of class.

As the data show, students in the accelerated learning communities are now completing their required developmental math and English classes more quickly so that they can enroll in their college level courses sooner and with a strong foundation in their math, reading and writing skills. As a result of the demonstrated success of the program, ESP received the 2012 Chancellor's Award for Best Practices in Student Equity. More recently, ESP received recognition as the 2014 Example of Excelencia at the associate level from the national organization Excelencia in Education.

| ID | Planned Start and End Date(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| C.1 | July 2015 – June 2016 (ongoing) | \$163,000 | |

Since its inception, ESP has increased the number and proportion of students completing the basic skills sequence. ESP students have been at least twice as likely to complete the second course in the sequence as comparable students taking the traditional two-semester pathway. This difference has been even more pronounced among Hispanic and low-income students, where these ESP students are often three times as likely to complete as Hispanic and low-income students in the traditional pathway. Please see Appendix B for detailed ESP data.

When we examined students completing the basic skills sequence with the successful completion of transfer-level English and math within three years, Hispanic and low-income students in ESP were more likely than Hispanic and low-income students who were not in the program, with the difference becoming more pronounced among the second cohort (2012-13). Please see charts below with these data.



Given the success the program has had with Latino and low-income students, efforts will be made to recruit more African American students to the program with the intent of achieving the same success for these students. These efforts include working closely with Athletics, African-American mentoring program, Guardian Scholars, and EOPS to identify African-American students who can benefit from ESP.

• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of the Express to Success Program (ESP).

| Evaluation Activity | Description | When Data Will Be Collected | When Data Will Be Analyzed |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Pre- and post-surveys | Participants are surveyed every semester to learn about: | At the beginning | After the end of |
| | their expectations and whether they were met | and end of each | each fall and spring |
| | their level of commitment to their success | fall and spring | term |
| | their self-assessment of their math, reading and writing skills | semester | |
| | before and after participating in ESP | | |
| | what they found most useful within the program | | |
| Student course success | Examine success rates among participants and compare their rates to | End of each fall | After the end of |
| rates | other comparable Hispanic and low-income students in the class not | and spring | each fall and spring |
| | participating in the program, and to the overall course success rate | semester | term |
| Completion of two levels | Compare the percentage of ESP students from the targeted groups who | End of each fall | After the end of |
| | successfully complete both courses in one semester to comparable | and spring | the semester |
| | students who complete the two courses in two semesters | semester | subsequent to each |
| | | | cohort in ESP |
| Completion of transfer- | Completion of transfer- Compare the percentage of ESP students from the targeted groups who | | After the |
| level English and math | complete transfer-level English or math within three years to comparable | each cohort has | conclusion of the |
| | students who did not participate in ESP | participated in ESP | three-year period |

Success Indicator: Degree and Certificate Completion



CAMPUS-BASED RESEARCH: DEGREE AND CERTIFICATE COMPLETION

D. DEGREE AND CERTIFICATE COMPLETION. The ratio of the number of students by population group who receive a degree or certificate to the number of students in that group with the same informed matriculation goal as documented in the student educational plan developed with a counselor/advisor. Calculate degree and certificate completion rates by dividing:

| Rate | Denominator | Numerator |
|-------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Degree and | The # of first-time students who enrolled | The number of students out of |
| Certificate | in the base year and named certificates | (the denominator) that |
| Completion | and degrees as their matriculation goal | earned a degree or certificate |
| | in their student educational plan or by | within one or more years, as |
| | taking degree or certificate applicable | decided by the college. |
| | course(s) using the definitions outlined | |
| | in the Scorecard. | |

| Target Population(s) | The # of first- time students who enrolled in Fall 2012 with the goal of obtaining a certificate or degree | The number of students out of | The rate of degree and certificate completion | Total (all student average) completion rate* | Comparison to the all student average (Percentage point difference with +/- added)* |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| American Indian / Alaska Native | 8 | 1 | 13% | 18% | -5 |
| Asian | 179 | 41 | 23% | 18% | +5 |
| Black or African-American | 83 | 3 | 4% | 18% | -14 |
| Filipino | 15 | 3 | 20% | 18% | +2 |
| Hispanic | 694 | 86 | 12% | 18% | -6 |
| Pacific Islander | 2 | 0 | 0% | 18% | -18 |
| White | 1,141 | 264 | 23% | 18% | +5 |
| Two or More Races | 106 | 13 | 12% | 18% | -6 |
| Unknown | 11 | 1 | 9% | 18% | -9 |
| All Students | 2,239 | 412 | 18% | | |
| Males | 1,140 | 161 | 14% | 18% | -4 |
| Females | 1,076 | 250 | 23% | 18% | +4 |
| Unknown | 23 | 1 | 4% | 18% | -14 |
| Current or former foster youth | 13 | 1 | 8% | 18% | -10 |
| Individuals with disabilities | 191 | 20 | 10% | 18% | -8 |
| Low-income students | 993 | 149 | 15% | 18% | -3 |
| Veterans | 20 | 4 | 20% | 18% | +2 |

The three student groups experiencing the greatest equity gaps are Pacific Islanders, African Americans and students who did not indicate their gender. The gap for all three are significant with 18 percentage points for Pacific Islanders, and 14 percentage points for African Americans and students with unknown gender. However, given the very small number of Pacific Islanders and students with unknown gender, we felt it necessary to examine what other groups are experiencing equity gaps. We found that foster youth, disabled and Hispanic students are experiencing disproportionate impact with gaps of ten, eight and six percentage points, respectively. While the number of foster youth students at SBCC is small, the college has decided to make this group, probably one of if not the most vulnerable groups at any college, a priority.

As far as disabled students, we are concerned that in spite of the specialized services these students receive through Disabled Students Programs and Services (DSPS), they are still experiencing disproportionate impact in terms of completing degrees and certificates. Therefore, we felt it was important to include these students in our plan. Finally, given the large number of Hispanic students at SBCC, we determined it was important to target improving degree and certificate completion among these students. The table below shows the number of "lost" students who if there were no equity gaps would have succeeded in their courses.

| Equity Gap | Student Group | Gap in comparison to the Average, Expressed as Percentage | Percentage expressed as decimal 25% becomes .25 | Multiply | The # of first-time students who enrolled in 2011 and named certificates and degrees as their matriculation goal | = | Number of Students "Lost" |
|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Largest Gap | Pacific Islander | 18% | 0.18 | х | 2 | = | 0.4 |
| Second Largest | African American | 14% | 0.14 | х | 83 | = | 12 |
| Third Largest | Unknown gender | 14% | 0.14 | х | 23 | = | 3 |
| Fourth Largest | Foster youth | 10% | 0.10 | x | 13 | = | 1 |
| Fifth Largest | Disabled | 8% | 0.08 | х | 191 | = | 15 |
| Sixth Largest | Hispanic | 6% | 0.06 | х | 694 | = | 42 |

GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: DEGREE AND CERTIFICATE COMPLETION

GOAL D.

The goal is to improve degree and certificate completion for the following target populations identified in the college research as experiencing a disproportionate impact:

| Target Population(s) | Current gap, year | Goal | Goal Year |
|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| African-American | -14, 2012 | Reduce gap to 7% or less | 2020 |
| Foster youth | -10, 2012 | Reduce gap to 5% or less | 2020 |
| Disabled | -8, 2012 | Reduce gap to 4% or less | 2020 |
| Hispanic | -6, 2012 | Reduce gap to 3% or less | 2020 |

ACTIVITIES: D. DEGREE AND CERTIFICATE COMPLETION

D.1 Guardian Scholars

• Activity Type(s)

| Х | Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Х | Instructional Support Activities |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Х | Student Services or other Categorical | Curriculum/Course Development or | Х | Direct Student Support |
| | Program | Adaptation | | |
| | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group(s) | # of Students Affected |
|-----|-----------------|------------------------|
| D.1 | Foster youth | 27 |
| | | |

• Activity Implementation Plan

Foster youth have more challenges and are more disadvantaged than any other groups of students because they have no advocates, no guidance, and no formal support structure, states Tim Bonnell, program coordinator with the California Community College System. In the last five years, the number of foster children in need of services in Santa Barbara County increased by 81%.

Every year, approximately 4,000 age out of California's foster care system with no place to live and no means for support, and just in time for college. As a result, foster youth are most likely the most vulnerable student group on the college campus.

Although the college has served Guardian Scholars (aged-out foster youth) for the past eight years, it functioned primarily as a campus club with virtually no dedicated resources. The college has decided to prioritize the significant needs of this population by providing dedicated staffing, resources, and a physical space for these students. This formalized program will provide both academic and personal support through a case management approach. Following the EOPS model, all Guardian Scholars will be required to meet with an academic counselor at least twice per semester and be required to meet with their Student Program Advisor at least twice per semester to remain in good standing with the program. Personal support through the Student Program Advisor will include working with community agencies to address personal challenges a student may be facing. Examples of such include assistance in applying for Cal Fresh and housing assistance through the YMCA's Youth and Family Services programs. In addition to academic counseling, the Student Program Advisor will ensure that the Guardian Scholars are aware of support programs and services on campus to include the Career Center and the Transfer Center.

Tutoring support will be offered in the EOPS Tutoring Center and Computer Lab. The Guardian Scholars Resource and Education Center was opened in fall 2015 with funding from the Santa Barbara Foundation to furnish it. Students in the program will receive assistance with managing life situations while successfully achieving a higher education through a case management approach. The development of the Guardian Scholars Center will provide a welcoming place where our Guardian Scholars can meet, study and receive academic support. We have found that many of our Guardian Scholars living arrangements are not conducive to a productive studying environment.

Support services will include book loans, school supplies, academic counseling, tutoring, and peer mentoring. Outreach activities to the high schools will occur to ensure that potential incoming students know about and are recruited into the program. In addition, community collaborations to serve this population will be made. The successful summer Running Start Program will reserve spots for incoming Guardian Scholars. Running Start is an award-winning, privately funded summer bridge program that prepares low-income, educationally under-prepared high school seniors with a positive college experience and the skill sets and resources to have a successful community college experience that lead to completion of the students' educational goals.

Guardian Scholars need a place where they feel they belong. The nature of the program is first and foremost to provide a place of comfort where students will be nurtured and feel connected and valued. Through mentoring, program activities, and support services students will become focused and directed in their mission to secure a higher education. Relationships will be built through staff meetings and program activities.

| ID | Planned Start and End Date(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| D.1 | July 2015 – June 2016 (ongoing) | \$50,000 | n/a |

No one tracks college programs for foster youth, but it is clear there has been considerable growth in recent years, spurred in part by the creation in 2003 of the Chafee grant program, an annual \$48 million federal appropriation used to award scholarships of up to \$5,000. Also important was federal legislation in 2008 giving states the option of extending federal aid programs for foster youth from age 18 to 21.

Seven states are considered to have particularly strong programs. California's is known as the Guardian Scholars. Texas, Ohio and North Carolina call theirs Reach; Michigan has Fostering Success Michigan; Washington, Passport to College Promise; and Virginia, Great Expectations. Many colleges provide some services, but a far smaller number have the kinds of comprehensive support systems offered at places like Western Michigan University, Sam Houston State University, City College of San Francisco, and community colleges in Tallahassee, Fla., and Austin, Tex.

The first Guardian Scholars program at California State University, Fullerton, started in 1998 with financial backing from Ronald V. Davis, the former chief executive of the Perrier Group. Philanthropy has played a role at several universities. Paul Blavin, who made his fortune as an investor, has financed programs at the University of Michigan and Northern Arizona University. The Pritzker Foundation recently gave \$3 million to UCLA's program. Casey Family Scholars provides scholarships and support services directly to students, an average of \$3,500 a year to about 220 undergraduates.

Below are two examples of foster youth programs at postsecondary institutions and the impact these programs are having on foster youth's educational outcomes.

Operated as a partnership between <u>New Yorkers for Children</u>, the <u>Administration for Children's Services</u>, and CUNY, the Guardian Scholars program (GS) provides youth in foster care with stipends, one-on-one advisement, and coordinated access to campus and community resources while they are in college. The <u>GS program</u> is making a big difference for youth in foster care. Ninety-three percent of GS students persist from the fall to spring semester, compared to the national average of <u>26%</u> for youth in foster care. Seventy-eight percent of GS students are on track to graduate with a college degree on time. This is a significant achievement when only <u>3-11% of foster youth</u> who plan to go to college will graduate nationwide.

• California has the largest foster population — about 54,000 of the 400,000 in care nationally — and Los Angeles, with 18,500 children, has the most among cities, more even than New York, which has about 14,000. UCLA began identifying foster students five years ago when it introduced its Guardian Scholars program, and the results are promising. There are now 250 current and former foster students at the university. The first group had a four-year graduation rate of 65 percent and a five-year rate of 80 percent, which compares favorably with rates for all low-income students (61 percent and 84 percent) and campus-wide (69 percent and 88 percent).

• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of the Guardian Scholars program.

| Evaluation Activity | Description | When Data Will Be Collected | When Data Will Be Analyzed |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Surveys, Focus Groups | Participants will be asked to participate in surveys, focus groups, and/or | During each fall | After the end of each |
| and/or Interviews | interviews to learn about their experiences in the program, what | and spring | fall and spring term |
| | impact they believe the program has had on their success, and how the | semester | |
| | program can be improved | | |
| Student course success | Examine success rates among foster youth and compare participants' | End of each fall | After the end of each |
| rates | rates to foster youth not participating in the program, and to the | and spring | fall and spring term |
| | overall course success rate | semester | |
| Student retention rates | Compare the percentage of participants who are retained each term to | End of each fall | After the end of each |
| | the percentage of foster youth not participating in the program who are | and spring | fall and spring term |
| | retained | semester | |
| Degree/certificate | Compare the percentage of participants who earn a degree and/or | Three years after | After the conclusion |
| completion rates | certificate within three years of entering the college to foster youth | each cohort has | of the three-year |
| | who entered the college at the same time and earn a degree and/or | entered the | period |
| | certificate | college | |

College: Santa Barbara City College

D.2 Career Technical Education (CTE) Student Program Advisor

Activity Type(s)

| | Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Instructional Support Activities |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Х | Student Services or other | Curriculum/Course Development or | Direct Student Support |
| | Categorical Program | Adaptation | |
| | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|-------------------|------------------------|
| D.2 | African Americans | 30 |
| | Hispanics | 200 |
| | Foster youth | 5 |

• Activity Implementation Plan

When we examined degree and certificate completion rates within CTE specifically, the same pattern repeats with African-American, disabled, foster youth, and Hispanic students experiencing disproportionate impact. To that end, we felt it was important to provide additional dedicated support to these students in their pursuit of a CTE degree and/or certificate. The CTE Advisor functions as the case manager for students with a goal of a career technical certificate or degree. The CTE advisor:

- tracks student progress
- o intervenes and makes referrals when students show a lack of progress,
- o informs students of important deadlines and
- o answers questions/concerns about State licensure/certifications

The advisor meets regularly with CTE faculty to keep current with course and program requirements. The advisor participated in the fall 2015 CTE Summit, which brought together CTE faculty, career counselors, staff and administrators to help identify the specific needs, gaps, and challenges that our CTE students are experiencing. The outcomes from this recent summit will be used by the advisor to develop additional strategies to help more CTE students earn their degrees and certificates.

College: Santa Barbara City College

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|----|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| D. | 2 July 2015 – June 2016 (ongoing) | \$77,075 | n/a |

• Link to Goal

The case management approach has been found to positively impact student outcomes. For example, South Texas College as part of its Achieving the Dream initiative has adopted a "Case Management Approach to Academic Advising," where first-time students are assigned an academic advisor. Students who received case-management services achieved higher retention rates, course grades, and successful completion rates. The course success rate for participants was 65% compared to 59% among students who did not receive such services. The retention rate for participants was 76% compared to 69% for non-participants. As a result, the college has expanded this program to include all students. See more at: http://www.edexcelencia.org/program/case-management-approach-academic-advising-program#sthash.3qWPbSXx.dpuf. By using a case management approach with CTE students, we believe we can impact their course success and retention rates, which will then lead to increase degree and certificate completion.

In addition, the RP Group's research on the six success factors provides support for the use of a case management approach that is designed to help students experience all six factors. The case management counselor will help students find *direction* by identifying their educational and career goals and developing an education plan. Through regular contact with students, the counselor will help students stay *focused* by holding them accountable and putting them in touch with resources that can help with time management and study skills. The counselor will nurture students by demonstrating that someone at the college cares about them and their success. Students will be encouraged to engage in their learning by communicating with their instructors regularly and participating in out-of-class opportunities on and off campus. The counselor will help students feel a sense of connectedness through the relationships students develop both with the counselor, other students and their instructors. The counselor will get to know each student's abilities, skills, experiences and talents and look for opportunities that would allow students to contribute something of *value* to other students or the community.

• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of the CTE Student Program Advisor.

| Evaluation Activity | Description | When Data Will Be Collected | When Data Will Be Analyzed |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Surveys, Focus Groups | Students who see the advisor will be asked to participate in surveys, | During each fall | After the end of each |
| and/or Interviews | focus groups, and/or interviews to learn about their experiences with | and spring | fall and spring term |
| | the advisor, and what impact they believe the advisor has had on their success | semester | |
| Student course | Compare success rates among students who see the advisor to | End of each fall | After the end of each |
| success rates | comparable students who did not see the advisor, and to the overall | and spring | fall and spring term |
| | course success rate | semester | |
| Student retention | Compare the percentage of students who see the advisor and are | End of each fall | After the end of each |
| rates | retained each term to the percentage of comparable students who did | and spring | fall and spring term |
| | not see the advisor and are retained | semester | |
| Degree/certificate | Compare the percentage of students who see the advisor who earn a | Three years after | After the conclusion |
| completion rates | degree and/or certificate within three years of entering the college to | each cohort has | of the three-year |
| | comparable students who entered the college at the same time and earn | entered the | period |
| | a degree and/or certificate | college | |

College: Santa Barbara City College

D.3 EOPS Student Program Advisor

Activity Type(s)

| | Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Instructional Support Activities |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Х | Student Services or other Categorical | Curriculum/Course Development or | Direct Student Support |
| | Program | Adaptation | |
| | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|--------------|------------------------|
| D.3 | Hispanics | 127 |
| | Foster youth | 27 |

• Activity Implementation Plan

This EOPS Student Program Advisor (SPA) will work specifically with foster youth and Hispanic students. The EOPS SPA works with the Department of Social Services and the Independent Living Program (ILP) to identify local high school foster youth. Outreach and recruitment activities are planned to bring these youth on to the college campus to meet with our Guardian Scholars students and program staff. In addition, the college asks applicants to self-identify if they are/were a foster youth. Those students are referred to the Advisor and the students are contacted and asked to join the Guardian Scholars program. Hispanic students are recruited out of our feeder high schools. Both the Hispanic population and the foster youth students are given priority into the college's Running Start summer bridge program.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| D.3 | July 2015 – June 2016 (ongoing) | \$78,845 | n/a |

Link to Goal

As described above, the case management approach has been found to positively impact student outcomes. For example, South Texas College as part of its Achieving the Dream initiative has adopted a "Case Management Approach to Academic Advising," where first-time students are assigned an academic advisor. Students who received case-management services achieved higher retention rates, course grades, and successful completion rates. The course success rate for participants was 65% compared to 59% among students who did not receive such services. The retention rate for participants was 76% compared to 69% for non-

participants. As a result, the college has expanded this program to include all students. See more at: http://www.edexcelencia.org/program/case-management-approach-academic-advising-program#sthash.3qWPbSXx.dpuf.

In addition, the RP Group's research on the six success factors provides support for the use of a case management approach that is designed to help students experience all six factors. The case management counselor will help students find *direction* by identifying their educational and career goals and developing an education plan. Through regular contact with students, the counselor will help students stay *focused* by holding them accountable and putting them in touch with resources that can help with time management and study skills. The counselor will nurture students by demonstrating that someone at the college cares about them and their success. Students will be encouraged to engage in their learning by communicating with their instructors regularly and participating in out-of-class opportunities on and off campus. The counselor will help students feel a sense of connectedness through the relationships students develop both with the counselor, other students and their instructors. The counselor will get to know each student's abilities, skills, experiences and talents and look for opportunities that would allow students to contribute something of *value* to other students or the community.

Through the case management support system in EOPS and the program and services EOPS offers, students are successfully reaching their educational goals. In the past, Guardian Scholars have not been held accountable to meet with Academic Counselors and develop an educational plan. Now the Student Program Advisor will meet with the students and require them to meet with an academic counselor twice a semester. Guardian Scholars will also have direct access to tutoring and peer mentoring support. By expanding the case management approach already utilized in EOPS, we believe we can impact a larger number of Hispanic and foster youth students in terms of their course success and retention rates, which will then lead to increase degree and certificate completion.
• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of the EOPS Student Program Advisor.

| Evaluation Activity | Description | When Data Will Be Collected | When Data Will Be Analyzed |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Surveys, Focus Groups | Students who see the advisor will be asked to participate in surveys, | During each fall | After the end of each |
| and/or Interviews | focus groups, and/or interviews to learn about their experiences with | and spring | fall and spring term |
| | the advisor, and what impact they believe the advisor has had on their success | semester | |
| Student course success | Compare success rates among students who see the advisor to | End of each fall | After the end of each |
| rates | comparable students who did not see the advisor, and to the overall | and spring | fall and spring term |
| | course success rate | semester | |
| Student retention rates | Compare the percentage of students who see the advisor and are | End of each fall | After the end of each |
| | retained each term to the percentage of comparable students who did | and spring | fall and spring term |
| | not see the advisor and are retained | semester | |
| Degree/certificate | Compare the percentage of students who see the advisor who earn a | Three years after | After the conclusion |
| completion rates | degree and/or certificate within three years of entering the college to | each cohort has | of the three-year |
| | comparable students who entered the college at the same time and | entered the | period |
| | earn a degree and/or certificate, but did not see the advisor | college | |

D.4 Disabled Students Research

• Activity Type(s)

| | Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Instructional Support Activities |
|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Student Services or other Categorical | Curriculum/Course Development or | Direct Student Support |
| | Program | Adaptation | |
| Х | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected | | |
|-----|--------------|------------------------|--|--|
| D.4 | Disabled | n/a | | |
| | | | | |

• Activity Implementation Plan

As mentioned earlier, the findings that disabled students are experiencing disproportionate impact in terms of completing degrees and certificates caused us some concern in light of the fact that these students are receiving specialized services through Disabled Students Programs and Services (DSPS), designed to help them achieve their goals. Given the unexpected nature of these results, we felt that our best first step is to conduct research designed to uncover the possible reasons that may be contributing to disabled students not completing degrees and certificates. This research would consist of surveys, interviews and focus groups both with disabled students and the faculty and staff working with these students. In addition, this activity would include researching effective practices by engaging faculty and staff in identifying practices that have shown evidence of success in improving completion rates among disabled students and could be adopted at SBCC. Faculty will contact colleges engaged in these practices and conduct up to four site visits to these campuses to learn about these practices firsthand. After collecting this information, these faculty members will develop a detailed proposal that outlines what practice(s) will be implemented.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| D.4 | February – August 2016 | \$10,000 | n/a |

• Link to Goal

The college believes that instead of trying to implement a program in a rushed manner, it would be better to take the time to research practices and develop an approach that fits SBCC and its students. SBCC has a long history of taking a deliberate and measured approach to serving our students. This approach has resulted in a number of efforts that have helped improve outcomes for students, especially underserved students.

• Evaluation

Evidence that this research project has been successful will be seen in the development of a proposal that identifies how the college will begin its work to address the barriers and provide support to disabled students in order to increase their chances of completing degrees and certificates. The faculty conducting this research will share their findings with DSPS faculty and staff and work collaboratively with them to develop a proposal that has the full support of the department. The faculty will also report monthly to the Executive Vice President on their progress.

Success Indicator: Transfer



CAMPUS-BASED RESEARCH: TRANSFER

E. TRANSFER. The ratio of the number of students by population group who complete a minimum of 12 units and have attempted a transfer level course in mathematics or English, to the number of students in that group who actually transfer after one or more (up to six) years. Calculate transfer rates by dividing:

| Rate | Denominator | Numerator |
|----------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Transfer | The # of students who | The number of students out of $oldsymbol{\Theta}$ (the |
| | complete a minimum of 12 | denominator) who actually transfer after |
| | units and have attempted a | one or more years. |
| | transfer level course in | |
| | mathematics or English | |

| Target Population(s) | The # of students who first enrolled in Fall 2011 and completed a minimum of 12 units and have attempted a transfer-level course in mathematics or English | The number of students out of | The transfer rate | Total (all student average) pass rate* | Comparison to the all student average (Percentage point difference with +/- added)* |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| American Indian / Alaska Native | 55 | 24 | 44% | 47% | -3 |
| Asian | 563 | 220 | 39% | 47% | -8 |
| Black or African American | 111 | 47 | 42% | 47% | -5 |
| Filipino | 74 | 32 | 43% | 47% | -4 |
| Hispanic | 1,803 | 697 | 39% | 47% | -8 |
| Pacific Islander | 28 | 16 | 57% | 47% | +10 |
| White | 3,550 | 1,847 | 52% | 47% | +5 |
| Other Non-White | 86 | 29 | 34% | 47% | -13 |
| Two or more races | 145 | 84 | 58% | 47% | +11 |
| Unknown | 189 | 76 | 40% | 47% | -7 |
| All Students | 6,604 | 3,072 | 47% | | |
| Males | 3,046 | 1,424 | 47% | 47% | 0 |
| Females | 3,490 | 1,624 | 47% | 47% | 0 |
| Unknown | 68 | 24 | 35% | 47% | -12 |
| Current or former foster youth | 13 | 7 | 54% | 47% | +7 |
| Individuals with disabilities | 893 | 370 | 41% | 47% | -6 |
| Low-income students | 2,897 | 1,285 | 44% | 47% | -3 |
| Veterans | 90 | 47 | 52% | 47% | +5 |

The three largest equity gaps are among Other Non-Whites, students who did not indicate their gender, Asian and Hispanics (tie). The gaps for these groups range from eight to 13 percentage

points. However, given the very small number of Other Non-Whites and students with unknown gender, we felt it necessary to examine which other groups are experiencing equity gaps. We found that disabled and African-American students are experiencing disproportionate impact with gaps of six and five percentage points, respectively.

As mentioned under the degree/certificate completion indicator, we were troubled to learn that disabled students are experiencing disproportionate impact in terms of completing degrees, certificates and transferring to four-year institutions. Therefore, we felt it important to include this group in our efforts to improve transfer achievement in order to ensure that we are taking a comprehensive approach to helping these students succeed. The research activity proposed under this indicator will also be structured to ensure that the research includes how to improve transfer achievement among disabled students.

Similar to the findings for disabled students, we are equally troubled to learn that our African-American students are experiencing disproportionate impact not just in terms of completion, but across all five indicators. As a result, we have chosen to include African Americans in our plan to improve transfer achievement.

| Equity Gap | Student Group | Gap in comparison to the Average, Expressed as Percentage | Percentage expressed as decimal | Multiply | The # of first-time students who enrolled in 2011 and named transfer as their matriculation goal. | = | Number of Students "Lost" |
|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Largest Gap | Other Non- White | 13% | 0.13 | х | 86 | = | 11 |
| Second Largest | Unknown gender | 12% | 0.12 | x | 68 | = | 8 |
| Third Largest | Asian | 8% | 0.08 | х | 563 | = | 45 |
| Third Largest | Hispanic | 8% | 0.08 | x | 1,803 | = | 144 |
| Fourth Largest | Disabled | 6% | 0.06 | х | 893 | = | 54 |
| Fifth Largest | African American | 5% | 0.05 | x | 111 | = | 6 |

The table below shows the number of "lost" students who if there were no equity gaps would have succeeded in their courses.

GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: TRANSFER

GOAL E.

The goal is to improve transfer for the following target populations identified in the college research as experiencing a disproportionate impact:

| Target Population(s) | Current gap, year | Goal | Goal Year |
|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| Asian | -8, 2011 | Reduce gap to 4% or less | 2020 |
| Hispanic | -8, 2011 | Reduce gap to 4% or less | 2020 |
| Disabled | -6, 2011 | Reduce gap to 3% or less | 2020 |
| African American | -5, 2011 | Reduce gap to 3% or less | 2020 |

ACTIVITIES: E. TRANSFER

E.1 STEM Transfer Program (STP)

• Activity Type(s)

| | Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning X Instructional Support Activities |
|---|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Х | Student Services or other | Curriculum/Course Development or Direct Student Support |
| | Categorical Program | Adaptation |
| | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID Target Group | | # of Students Affected |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------------|
| E.1 | Hispanic | 400 |
| | African American | 25 |

College: Santa Barbara City College

• Activity Implementation Plan

The STEM Transfer Program (STP) is a successful federally-funded Hispanic Serving Institution (HSI) grant project. When grant funding ends at the end of September 2016, the college will institutionalize the STP with equity funds. To date, STP has served predominantly Hispanic and/or-low income STEM majors who have a goal of transfer. However, with equity funding, we can extend our outreach to and support of African-American students with a goal of a STEM-related degree. The STP model is designed to help students achieve their academic goals by providing a connected partnership between the student, student services, and STEM faculty, and is built around known high-impact practices that foster persistence, retention, and success. These practices and services include:

- A designated counselor to aid them in designing their Student Education Plan and help them stay on track
- STEM faculty advisors/mentors
- The STEM Center, which serves as a tutoring facility, study space, meeting place and centralized resource clearinghouse
- Designated STEM tutors in the STEM Center who are also their peers in STP
- Evening study/tutor hours run by SBCC math and science faculty
- Notification of scholarship and internship opportunities and assistance in completing the applications
- Opportunities to go on campus tours of four year universities throughout the state
- Opportunities to attend conferences and off-site STEM events
- On-campus STEM events (e.g., guest speakers, panels)
- Mentoring and outreach opportunities at the local K-12 schools
- Participation in STEM Week Zero, a three-day orientation event for incoming STP students that introduces them to the various STEM disciplines and the services available at SBCC.
- Participation in STEM 101, a three-unit class where students can explore various STEM disciplines, research methods, and career paths, as well as develop study skills and the mind-set where they can see themselves as future scientists.

In its three years of existence, STP has shown promise in terms of STP students achieving milestones on the path to transfer. When comparing Hispanic and/or low-income students within STP to Hispanic and/or low-income STEM majors who are not in the program, STP students show both higher success rates and greater retention rates in completing the required math and science sequences, as well as higher overall success rates in all their classes. Please see Appendix C for STP results. It is our expectation by extending our efforts to recruit African-American STEM majors into the program; we will see similar increases in success and retention.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| E.1 | July 2015 – June 2016 (ongoing) | \$133,155 | n/a |

• Link to Goal

Many researchers have documented the "leaky pipeline" in STEM for underrepresented groups. It is also well documented that effective practices to address the "leaking" is a holistic approach that involves creating a sense of community, providing support services and counseling, peer and faculty mentoring, academic motivation and rigor, and exposure to research (*Increasing Persistence in Undergraduate Science Majors: A Model for Institutional Support of Underrepresented Students*, Toven-Lindsey, et al, CBE Life Sciences Education, Vol 14, 1-12, Summer 2015). The STEM transfer program is also built around the RP Group's six success factors and strives to help students set their education and career goals (directed), help students feel a sense of belonging (connected), foster their motivation (focused), let them know someone cares about them and their success (nurtured), give them opportunities to contribute to the STP and larger college community (valued), and provide activities designed to stimulate their learning in and out of the classroom (engaged). The program's goal is to help students develop the mind-set of a "future scientist."

• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of the STEM Transfer Program (STP).

| Evaluation Activity | Description | When Data Will Be Collected | When Data Will Be Analyzed |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Surveys, Focus Groups | STP students are asked to participate in surveys, focus groups, | During each fall | After the end of |
| and/or Interviews | and/or interviews to learn about their experiences in the program, | and spring | each fall and |
| | and what impact they believe the program has had on their success, and what could be improved | semester | spring term |
| Student course success rates | Compare success rates among participants to comparable students | End of each fall | After the end of |
| | who did not participate, and to the overall course success rate | and spring | each fall and |
| | | semester | spring term |
| Student retention rates | Compare the percentage of STP students who are retained each | End of each fall | After the end of |
| | term to the percentage of comparable students who did participate | and spring | each fall and |
| | in the program and are retained | semester | spring term |
| Transfer rates | Compare the percentage of STP students who transfer or become | Three years after | After the |
| | transfer prepared within three years of entering the college to | each cohort has | conclusion of the |
| | comparable students who entered the college at the same time and | entered the | three-year period |
| | transfer or become transfer prepared | college | |

College: Santa Barbara City College

E.2 STEM Transfer Center Coordinator

Activity Type(s)

| | Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Instructional Support Activities |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Х | Student Services or other | Curriculum/Course Development or | Direct Student Support |
| | Categorical Program | Adaptation | |
| | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|--------------|------------------|------------------------|
| E.2 Hispanic | | 400 |
| | African American | 25 |

• Activity Implementation Plan

The STEM Transfer Center Coordinator oversees all aspects of the STEM Transfer Program (STP). This coordinator provides supervision for the STP Student Program Advisor and students and tutors during daytime hours. The coordinator is responsible for providing orientations to STP students, maintaining data on students' schedules and grades in order to monitor their progress and provide interventions or make referrals, as needed. The coordinator communicates and coordinates with the STEM counselors and instructional faculty to ensure that faculty and tutors are hired to provide student support in evening hours at the Center. The Coordinator accompanies students to state or national conferences and along with the STP advisor, arranges and accompanies students on campus visits and organizes guest speakers and special events.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| E.2 | July 2015 – June 2016 (ongoing) | \$83,000 | n/a |

Link to Goal

The STEM Transfer Center Coordinator is essential for the operation of the STEM Transfer Program. By managing the Center, tracking student progress, and establishing personal relationships with the students, the STP Coordinator supports students in achieving milestones on the path to transfer. When comparing Hispanic and/or low-income students within STP to Hispanic and/or low-income STEM majors who are not in the program, STP students show both higher success rates and greater retention rates in completing the required math and science sequences, as well as higher overall success rates in all their classes.

• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of the STEM Transfer Center Coordinator.

| Evaluation Activity | Description | When Data Will Be Collected | When Data Will Be Analyzed |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Surveys, Focus Groups and/or Interviews | Students who use the STP Center will be asked to participate in surveys, focus groups, and/or interviews to learn about their experiences in the Center, and what impact they believe the Center has had on their success | During each fall and spring semester | After the end of each fall and spring term |
| Student course success rates | Compare success rates among STP students who use the STP Center to comparable STP students who did not see the advisor, and to the overall course success rate | End of each fall and spring semester | After the end of each fall and spring term |
| Student retention rates | Compare the percentage of students who use the STP Center and are retained each term to the percentage of comparable STP students who did not use the Center and are retained | End of each fall and spring semester | After the end of each fall and spring term |
| Degree/certificate completion rates | Compare the percentage of STP students who use the STP Center and earn a degree and/or certificate within three years of entering the college to comparable STP students who entered the college at the same time and earn a degree and/or certificate, but did not use the STP Center | Three years after each cohort has entered the college | After the conclusion of the three-year period |

E.3 STEM Transfer Program (STP) Student Program Advisor

Activity Type(s)

| | Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Instructional Support Activities |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Х | Student Services or other | Curriculum/Course Development or | Direct Student Support |
| | Categorical Program | Adaptation | |
| | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|------------------|------------------------|
| E.3 | Hispanic | 400 |
| | African American | 25 |

• Activity Implementation Plan

The STEM Transfer Program Student Program Advisor is housed in the STEM Transfer Center and supports both the coordinator and students. The advisor is responsible for researching scholarship and internship opportunities for STP students and assisting students in the application process. The advisor plans activities and accompanies students on visits to four-year universities. The advisor also assists with the application process when students are preparing to transfer. In the spring, the advisor coordinates campus visits from local high school students who have been referred by high school counselors as potential STP students. The advisor serves as a case manager for STP students.

| ID | D Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|----|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| E. | 3 July 2015 – June 2016 (ongoing) | \$71,000 | n/a |

• Link to Goal

The case management approach has been found to positively impact student outcomes. For example, South Texas College as part of its Achieving the Dream initiative has adopted a "Case Management Approach to Academic Advising," where first-time students are assigned an academic advisor. Students who received case-management services achieved higher retention rates, course grades, and successful completion rates. The course success rate for participants was 65% compared to 59% among students who did not receive such services. The retention rate for participants was 76% compared to 69% for non-participants. As a result, the college has expanded this program to include all students. See more at: <u>http://www.edexcelencia.org/program/case-</u>management-approach-academic-advising-program#sthash.3qWPbSXx.dpuf.

In addition, the RP Group's research on the six success factors provides support for the use of a case management approach that is designed to help students experience all six factors. The STP advisor will help students find *direction* by identifying their educational and career goals and developing an education plan. Through regular contact with students, the advisor helps students stay *focused* by holding them accountable and putting them in touch with resources that can help with time management and study skills. The advisor will nurture students by demonstrating that someone at the college cares about them and their success. Students will be encouraged to engage in their learning by communicating with their instructors regularly and participating in out-of-class opportunities on and off campus. The advisor will help students feel a sense of connectedness through the relationships students develop both with the advisor, other students and their instructors. The advisor will get to know each student's abilities, skills, experiences and talents and look for opportunities that would allow students to contribute something of *value* to other students or the community.

• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of the STP Student Program Advisor.

| Evaluation Activity | Description | When Data Will Be Collected | When Data Will Be Analyzed |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Surveys, Focus Groups | Students who see the advisor will be asked to participate in surveys, focus | During each fall | After the end of |
| and/or Interviews | groups, and/or interviews to learn about their experiences with the advisor, | and spring | each fall and spring |
| | and what impact they believe the advisor has had on their success | semester | term |
| Student course success | Compare success rates among students who see the advisor to comparable | End of each fall | After the end of |
| rates | students who did not see the advisor, and to the overall course success rate | and spring | each fall and spring |
| | | semester | term |
| Student retention rates | Compare the percentage of students who see the advisor and are retained | End of each fall | After the end of |
| | each term to the percentage of comparable students who did not see the | and spring | each fall and spring |
| | advisor and are retained | semester | term |
| Degree/certificate | Compare the percentage of students who see the advisor who earn a | Three years | After the |
| completion rates | degree and/or certificate within three years of entering the college to | after each | conclusion of the |
| | comparable students who entered the college at the same time and earn a | cohort has | three-year period |
| | degree and/or certificate, but did not see the advisor | entered the | |
| | | college | |

College: Santa Barbara City College

E.4 African-American Student Research

Activity Type(s)

| | Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Instructional Support Activities |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Student Services or other | Curriculum/Course Development or | Direct Student Support |
| | Categorical Program | Adaptation | |
| Х | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected | |
|-----|------------------|------------------------|--|
| E.4 | African American | n/a | |
| | | | |

• Activity Implementation Plan

As mentioned earlier, given the findings that African-American students are experiencing disproportionate impact across all five indicators, the college can no longer use them being a small proportion of student population as an excuse not to focus on their needs. The data are telling us that this group on our campus is struggling and we must make them a priority. As a result, we believe that the best way to begin to better serve these students is to conduct research designed to increase our understanding of how African-American students experience SBCC, what barriers to success they are encountering and what support they feel will help improve their chances for success. This research would begin by engaging our African-American students in surveys, interviews and focus groups.

Concurrently, this activity would include researching effective practices by engaging a team of faculty and staff in identifying practices that have shown evidence of success in improving transfer and completion rates among African-American students and could be adopted at SBCC. In particular, the team will begin by focusing on Umoja Community Model that has been implemented at over 30 community colleges in the state. This team will contact colleges with Umoja and similar programs and conduct up to four site visits to these campuses to learn about these programs and their practices firsthand. After collecting this information, these faculty members will develop a detailed proposal that outlines what practice(s) will be implemented.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| E.4 | February – August 2016 | \$10,000 | n/a |

• Link to Goal

The college believes that instead of trying to implement an Umoja program in a rushed manner, it would be better to take the time to research practices and develop an approach that best fits SBCC and its African-American students. SBCC has a long history of taking a deliberate and measured approach to serving our students. This approach has resulted in a number of efforts that have helped improve outcomes for students, especially underserved students.

• Evaluation

Evidence that this research project has been successful will be seen in the development of a proposal that identifies how the college will begin its work to address the barriers and provide support to our African-American students in order to increase their chances of completing degrees and certificates. The faculty conducting this research will share their findings with faculty and staff in other special population programs such as EOPS and CARE, and intervention programs such as ESP and STP in order to work collaboratively with them to develop a proposal that outlines a comprehensive approach to serving African-American students at SBCC. The faculty will also report monthly to the Student Equity Committee and Executive Vice President on their progress.

E.5 Foundations for Accelerating Equity

Activity Type(s)

| | Outreach | | Student Equity | Instructional Support Activities |
|---|---------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | Coordination/Planning | |
| Х | Student Services or other | Х | Curriculum/Course Development or | Direct Student Support |
| | Categorical Program | | Adaptation | |
| | Research and Evaluation | | Professional Development | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|-------------------|------------------------|
| E.5 | African Americans | 8 |
| | Hispanic | 60 |
| | Asian | 18 |
| | Disabled | 10 |

• Activity Implementation Plan

This program is envisioned as the foundational piece of an effort to align all transfer programs at SBCC into one comprehensive bridge across the equity gap. The Foundations program is designed to fully ground students in this innovative SBCC learning culture and ensure that participants' education at SBCC begins with the academic skills, college resources, and mindset necessary for academic endurance, success and completion in one of our existing student success or transfer programs including the Express to Success and STEM Transfer Programs. One of the most effective steps we can take in order to achieve equity for underrepresented and at-risk student populations at SBCC is to embrace non-cognitive techniques in order to foster student empowerment and success. In order to achieve the full potential of non-cognitive teaching approaches, these methods must be integrated into our academic curriculum and become part of a comprehensive approach that links student equity efforts across campus, thereby creating a collaborative learning culture among faculty and students.

Foundations faculty will redesign existing 101 series courses including Social Science 101, STEM 101, and potentially establish new 101 series courses in other disciplines if there is found to be a need and faculty interest. These courses will serve as an entry point for students into our existing college transfer programs and recruitment efforts will target students from the groups experiencing disproportionate impact in the area of transfer. The unique curriculum of these courses includes three key components: access to

a wide range of student services and counseling, exposure to multiple academic fields in one course through an interdisciplinary academic research curriculum, and a non-cognitive curriculum integrated into the academic curriculum that will include techniques such as mindfulness, growth mindset, and the establishment of peer-mentorship relationships. An important feature of these courses is that they are fully transferable (IGETC) to both UC and CSU (i.e. Social Science 101 transfers as a Social Science).

The training of SBCC faculty in non-cognitive skills will help ensure that as students continue at the college they will be supported by their already established peer mentor relationships, student services, and faculty (counseling and teaching) who use noncognitive techniques and language to nurture a learning culture that continually reinforces students' non-cognitive tools, is supportive of student success, and committed to closing the equity gap.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| E.5 | July 2015 – June 2016 | \$27,500 | n/a |

• Link to Goal

The growing number of studies documenting the success of non-cognitive techniques to empower and engage all students, especially at-risk student populations throughout the educational process, compels us to incorporate non-cognitive elements in our student equity work. The research and work of Nobel Prize-winning economist James Heckman concludes that non-cognitive education is key to bridging the equality gap in America. Heckman has collaborated with many researchers and scholar including Stanford's Carol Dweck, creator of the Growth Mindset non-cognitive curriculum, whose research documents the significant contribution non-cognitive techniques make to student success and that a non-cognitive curriculum is especially effective in addressing student inequity issues in the classroom. While the majority of research and focus on non-cognitive education had been conducted at the high school level and below until recently, the work pioneered by Diego Navarro and the Academy for College Excellence (ACE) has produced remarkable results with underrepresented and at-risk college student populations. ACE has achieved significant statistical gain in areas essential for college success including academic self-efficacy, leadership, college identity, and mindfulness. At the core of the ACE curriculum is a Foundations course with key elements including non-cognitive perseverance skills, peer mentorship, mindfulness, and a social justice academic research curriculum. Diego Navarro states that a great challenge with his Foundations curriculum is, in order to make it replicable and scalable, he has not been able to make it transferable to four-year institutions. At SBCC, we already have a course, Social Science 101, that has important elements of the ACE Foundations model and is transferable. We plan to turn Social Science 101 into a Foundations course of our own and to adapt the model to various disciplines across our campus as an entry point to our existing student pathways to success.

• Evaluation

The table below presents the plan to assess the impact of Foundations for Accelerating Equity.

| Evaluation Activity | ty Description | | When Data Will Be Analyzed |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| Surveys, Focus Groups | Participants are asked to engage in surveys, focus groups, and/or | During each fall | After the end of |
| and/or Interviews | interviews to learn about their experiences in the program, and | and spring | each fall and |
| | what impact they believe the program has had on their success, and what could be improved | semester | spring term |
| Student course success rates | Compare success rates among participants to comparable students | End of each fall | After the end of |
| | who did not participate, and to the overall course success rate | | each fall and |
| | | semester | spring term |
| Student retention rates Compare the percentage of participants who are retained each term | | End of each fall | After the end of |
| | to the percentage of comparable students who did participate in the | and spring | each fall and |
| program and are retained | | semester | spring term |
| Transfer rates | Compare the percentage of participants who transfer or become | Three years after | After the |
| | transfer prepared within three years of entering the college to | each cohort has | conclusion of the |
| | comparable students who entered the college at the same time, did | entered the | three-year period |
| | not participate in the program and transferred or became transfer prepared | college | |

Other College-Wide Initiatives Affecting Several Indicators



GOALS, ACTIVITIES, FUNDING AND EVALUATION: AFFECTING SEVERAL INDICATORS

ACTIVITIES: F. ACTIVITIES AFFECTING SEVERAL GOALS

F.1 Director of Equity, Diversity and Multicultural Education

• Indicators/Goals to be affected by the activity

| Х | Access | Х | Degrees and Certificate Completion |
|---|----------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Х | Course Completion | Х | Transfer |
| Х | ESL and Basic Skills Course Completion | | |

• Activity Type(s)

| | | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | | Instructional Support Activities |
|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Student Services or other | | Curriculum/Course Development or | | Direct Student Support |
| Categorical Program | | Adaptation | | |
| Research and Evaluation | | Professional Development | | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|--------------|------------------------|
| F.1 | n/a | |
| | | |

• Activity Implementation Plan

Given the magnitude, complexity and importance of the Student Equity Plan, the college has determined that it needs to fund a position that will not only oversee the college's efforts in implementing and evaluating the plan, but will coordinate with the Student Success and Support Programs (SSSP) and Basic Skills (BSI) Committees by creating a steering committee that will include the Executive Vice President. This steering committee will ensure that the funding from these three initiatives and their related efforts do not function in siloes. The Director of Equity, Diversity and Multicultural Education will report to the Executive Vice President, Educational Programs and will be responsible for budget oversight, reporting to the Chancellor's Office, and monitoring the all the equity-funded activities to ensure progress, completion and reporting.

This position will be responsible for several areas of work that are key to the college's achievement of its strategic goal of cultural competence. The director will demonstrate initiative and creativity in developing and implementing in-service activities as well as educational programs designed to assist administrators, faculty, staff, and students cultivate an understanding of, sensitivity to, and respect for all cultural/ethnic groups, genders, sexual orientations, backgrounds, experiences, and persons with varying abilities. The director will serve as a resource specialist for cultural diversity across the curriculum and as an advocate for faculty and staff facing issues related to diversity. The director is responsible for mentoring faculty in cultural competence in pedagogy, as well as in curriculum; and for promoting an inclusive campus atmosphere. The director will work directly with shared governance bodies (e.g., curriculum committee, academic senate, student senate, administrative leadership) to achieve SBCC's mission and institutional core competencies as they relate to cultural competence and will work closely with the Professional Development Committee to assist in achieving the equity, social justice, and the multicultural education goals of the campus.

The job description is currently under development and review with the expectation that the director will be hired by March 2016.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| F.1 | March – June 2016 (ongoing) | \$119,700 | n/a |

• Link to Goal

Having a position dedicated to facilitating an institution-wide vision that reaffirms and promotes our commitment to social justice and equity is key to the college's success in closing equity gaps. In addition, the Director of Equity, Diversity and Multicultural Education will develop and implement educational programs designed for faculty, staff and students to cultivate deeper appreciation for and understanding of all cultural groups, genders, sexual orientations, and varying abilities. Our college understands that without transformational cultural change, we will not effectively close the achievement gaps for our students.

• Evaluation

As part of the college's employee evaluation procedure, the person in this position will be evaluated by the Executive Vice President, Educational Programs once a year. The co-chair of the Student Equity Committee will also provide an assessment on the extent to which the director is effectively overseeing the implementation and evaluation of the Student Equity Plan and developing and offering professional development and educational opportunities for faculty, staff, administrators, and students that focus on meeting the college's goal to create a more inclusive campus culture. In addition, surveys will be administered at the conclusion of each event to gather participants' feedback on the event and its impact.

F.2 Research and Assessment Analyst

• Indicators/Goals to be affected by the activity

| Х | Access | Х | Degrees and Certificate Completion |
|---|----------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Х | Course Completion | Х | Transfer |
| Х | ESL and Basic Skills Course Completion | | |

Activity Type(s)

| Outreach | | Student Equity | | Instructional Support Activities |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| | | Coordination/Planning | | |
| Student Services or other | | Curriculum/Course Development or | | Direct Student Support |
| | Categorical Program | Adaptation | | |
| Х | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|--------------|------------------------|
| F.2 | n/a | |
| | | |

• Activity Implementation Plan

The equity plan proposed here requires a significant amount of research to determine the impact and effectiveness of the various activities. The college's office of institutional research currently does not have the capacity to take on this workload. As such, we plan to hire a research analyst who will focus on working with those faculty and staff implementing these activities to develop detailed evaluation plans and then carry out these plans. In addition, the analyst will work closely with the Director of Equity, Diversity and Multicultural Education to ensure that all activities are being evaluated and that these results are being shared with the Student Equity Committee. Finally, the analyst will be responsible for continuing to monitor the goals set in the plan to determine whether the equity gaps are being closed. This analyst will need to be well-versed in both quantitative and qualitative research design and methodology to meet the varied research and evaluation needs identified in this plan.

The Research and Assessment Analyst will perform a variety of professional activities involved in the research, collection, analysis, interpretation and reporting of data to assist in the development and evaluation of the college's Equity and Student Support and

Services Program (SSSP) Plans in such areas as assessment, student success, retention, and other measures of institutional effectiveness. Among the analyst's specific duties are to input data, create queries, extract and manipulate information, generate links to data, and produce a variety of computerized data, records and reports.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| F.2 | December 2015 and ongoing | \$48,013 | \$48,013 from SSSP |

• Link to Goal

The Research and Assessment Analyst position will assist in providing the data needed to support the research and evaluation efforts designed to increase the percentage of students in the targeted population groups who achieve the desired outcome measures. The college has already identified a number of areas related to equity that require research in order to identify what the exact issues are for student groups that are experiencing disproportionate impact and identify practices and approaches that can be implemented to close equity gaps. Five of these projects have been identified as research projects in this plan: disabled students, improving basic skills placement levels, African-American students, tutorial services, and hiring for diversity. The research analyst will provide support to the teams conducting these research projects. In addition, other areas identified as needing investigation include the equity gap in transfer among Asian students and why a significant proportion of African-American students apply to the college, but never enroll. The analyst will be responsible for conducting this research and sharing the results with the Student Equity Committee.

• Evaluation

As part of the college's employee evaluation procedure, the person in this position will be evaluated by the Senior Director of Institutional Assessment, Research and Planning once a year. The chairs of the Student Equity Committee and the Student Support Success Programs (SSSP) committees will provide their assessments on the extent to which the research analyst is providing the data needed to conduct the research and evaluation projects in an accurate, timely and well-organized manner.

F.3 Faculty Inquiry Groups

• Indicators/Goals to be affected by the activity

| Х | Access | Х | Degrees and Certificate Completion |
|---|----------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Х | Course Completion | Х | Transfer |
| Х | ESL and Basic Skills Course Completion | | |

• Activity Type(s)

| Outreach | | Student Equity | | Instructional Support Activities |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| | | Coordination/Planning | | |
| Student Services or other | | Curriculum/Course Development or | | Direct Student Support |
| Categorical Program | | Adaptation | | |
| Research and Evaluation | Х | Professional Development | | |

• Target Student Group(s):

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|--------------|------------------------|
| F.3 | n/a | |
| | | |

• Activity Implementation Plan

The college has a long history of supporting faculty in their exploration of new practices. One vehicle that has been used is the faculty inquiry group (FIG) model. With FIGs, faculty are supported to research theory and then apply learned principles and practices in their classrooms. The three current Faculty Inquiry Groups are:

- 1. Practicing Teaching for Cultural Inclusivity
- 2. Growth Mindset to Improve Student Engagement
- 3. Narrowing the Gender Gap in STEM

Below are brief descriptions of each FIG.

1. **Practicing Teaching for Cultural Inclusivity.** The College's Multicultural English Transfer (MET) faculty led an in-service workshop spring 2015 on culturally-inclusive pedagogy. Sixteen faculty members from six different departments who had attended this workshop then formed a faculty inquiry group committed to engaging questions of equity from the lens of

culturally inclusive pedagogy. The group met four times during the spring 2015 semester, beginning by reading current research in the field and focusing on exploring their own hidden biases around race in their classrooms in order to dismantle the attitudinal and systemic barriers many students encounter on our campus. Based on this inquiry, group members re-examined their classroom activities and practices. At the end of the spring 2015 semester, the members submitted individual reports describing what they had learned from the FIG and sharing one new assignment or classroom practice. The group continued into fall 2015 with a focus on synthesizing the individual work into a shared "best practices" document for culturally-inclusive pedagogy as well as writing a first draft of a social justice policy for the campus as a whole.

- 2. Growth Mindset to Improve Student Engagement. Our Express to Success Program (ESP) faculty have been incorporating growth mindset principles into the accelerated and immersion English and math courses that are offered through ESP, a program designed to increase retention and course completion for traditionally underrepresented students. In spring 2015, ESP faculty offered an in-service workshop that also grew into a faculty inquiry group for 14 faculty who met four times throughout the spring 2015 semester. The group read and discussed research and examples of activities that foster growth mindset and explored how growth mindset can be especially empowering for traditionally under-represented students. At the end of the spring 2015 semester the members submitted individual reports describing what they had learned from the FIG and sharing a new assignment or classroom practice. This inquiry group continued into fall 2015 in order to support each other in the continued exploration of ways to incorporate growth mindset principles into their own classroom practice (including changing grading strategies and syllabi) as well as to synthesize their experience into materials to share with faculty that highlight ways to incorporate growth mindset principles into classroom practice by discipline.
- 3. Narrowing the Gender Gap in STEM. Science and math faculty have been identifying unique issues that female students are facing at SBCC in an effort to close the gender gap in STEM disciplines. This FIG focuses on how to increase retention in STEM courses, make STEM majors more enticing to female students, and ways to support STEM faculty in encouraging and mentoring female STEM students. The group has had several meetings, starting in spring 2015, where they have read and discussed research on closing the gender gap in STEM. They also engaged Sylvia Acevedo, who serves on the President's Advisory Board on Educational Excellence for Hispanics, as a consultant on best practices. Outcomes from this FIG include:
 - a. All-campus in-Service activity for spring 2016 that will focus on the research and identifying schemas
 - b. Development of a mentoring program
 - c. Development of new faculty hiring strategies

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds | |
|-----|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------|--|
| F.3 | Spring 2015 – Spring 2016 | \$15,000 | n/a | |

College: Santa Barbara City College

• Link to Goal

The professional development activity supported by these faculty inquiry groups allow faculty across disciplines to immerse themselves in relevant scholarship regarding effective pedagogy, discuss the ideas that seem most promising for their students, and then support each other as they apply these concepts in their classroom teaching. We recognize that really making a difference to address the equity gap must involve changes in classroom practice. The culturally inclusive pedagogy, growth mindset, and inexperienced readers faculty inquiry group leaders all came from programs and departments on campus (the Multicultural English Program, the Express to Success Program, and the English Skills Department) with proven track records in supporting the success of traditionally under-represented students. The Narrowing the Gender Gap in STEM was created by faculty leaders in STEM who will work to broaden female representation in STEM. As they share their knowledge and engage in further inquiry with faculty from across the campus in order to improve pedagogy, we touch more and more students in the classroom. These faculty members' new practices and approaches will be reviewed and shared with the faculty at large via the work of the Committee on Teaching and Learning, a committee of the College's Academic Senate.

• Evaluation

The college's Committee on Teaching and Learning (CTL) will analyze each of the Faculty Inquiry Group's reports and write a summary including description, outcomes, and shared principles reinforced by the groups. This report will be posted on the CTL website and shared with the Student Equity Committee as well. The expectation is that the FIGs' work will support the institution's development of shared practices in teaching that support the increased success of disproportionately-impacted students. The college recognizes that improving our students' success depends on what happens in the classroom, and these FIGs are a way to support faculty inquiry into areas that will improve their teaching.

F.4 Career Technical Education (CTE) Summit

• Indicators/Goals to be affected by the activity

| Х | Access | Х | Degrees and Certificate Completion |
|---|----------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Х | Course Completion | Х | Transfer |
| Х | ESL and Basic Skills Course Completion | | |

Activity Type(s)

| Outreach | | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | | Instructional Support Activities |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| Student Services or other | | Curriculum/Course Development or | | Direct Student Support |
| Categorical Program | | Adaptation | | |
| Research and Evaluation | Х | Professional Development | | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|--------------|------------------------|
| F.4 | n/a | |
| | | |

• Activity Implementation Plan

The Career Technical Education (CTE) Summit is a series of meetings of CTE faculty who will meet for the purpose of evaluating the challenges and opportunities associated with student equity and success in career technical majors. The primary goal of the summit will be to identify from a CTE faculty perspective the barriers that impact current or potential CTE students in starting or completing a certificate or degree program. The outcome of the summit will be a written document that codifies the equity challenges and formulates a set of strategies that the college, department, or instructor can use to improve student access and success, if applicable. Areas that will be evaluated during the Summit include:

- student support services that may need to be added or, if currently offered, may need to be changed;
- program-level evaluation that considers "gatekeeper" courses and their impact on student persistence; and
- instructional strategies that can be implemented to increase course and program-completer rates.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|----------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| F.4 | July 2015 – May 2016 | \$5,000 | |

• Link to Goal

Student equity issues and challenges may be different for CTE programs. This summit will bring together CTE faculty to explore this question. Having a better understanding of the equity question from programs that represent approximately 25% of the total student population at SBCC will allow the college, departments, programs, and faculty to better formulate equity improvement strategies.

• Evaluation

Evidence that the CTE Summit was successful will be seen in the development of a proposal that identifies how the college will begin its work to address the barriers and provide support to CTE students in order to increase their chances of completing degrees and certificates. The faculty conducting this research will share their findings with faculty in their respective CTE departments in order to work collaboratively with them to develop a proposal that outlines a comprehensive approach to serving CTE students at SBCC. The faculty will also report to the Student Equity Committee and Executive Vice President on their progress.

F.5 Equity-Focused Professional Development

• Indicators/Goals to be affected by the activity

| Х | Access | Х | Degrees and Certificate Completion |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Х | Course Completion | Х | Transfer |
| X ESL and Basic Skills Course Completion | | | |

Activity Type(s)

| Outreach | | Student Equity | | Instructional Support Activities |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| | | Coordination/Planning | | |
| Student Services or other | | Curriculum/Course Development or | | Direct Student Support |
| Categorical Program | | Adaptation | | |
| Research and Evaluation | Х | Professional Development | | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|--------------|------------------------|
| F.5 | n/a | |
| | | |

• Activity Implementation Plan

The Professional Development Advisory Committee will lead to provide programming in 2015-2016 through speakers, workshops, and training of faculty and staff. Fall 2015 activities included a keynote speaker during the All Campus Kickoff and co-sponsoring the Dorantes Lecture speaker. Dr. José F. Moreno addressed faculty, staff, and administrators on "Student Equity and Higher Education." Tim Wise addressed students, faculty, and staff on the theme of "Resurrecting Apartheid, from Ferguson to the Voting Booth to the Border – Combating Racism in the Post-Obama Era" in November 2015.

The spring 2016 in-service is being planned to include a keynote speaker, panel, and workshop with Just Communities (http://www.just-communities.org) and students who will engage faculty and staff on the topic diversity and equity. In addition to the in-service activities, a series of workshops will be planned throughout the term. The topics will range from pedagogy to listening to sensitivity and will draw upon local and national resources, including our students.

College: Santa Barbara City College

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds | |
|-----|-------------------------|----------------------|-------------|--|
| F.5 | Fall 2015 – Summer 2016 | \$10,000 | n/a | |

Link to Goal

The goal is to provide training on cultural and diversity issues and strategies to help faculty and staff more fully address and be responsive to the needs of students in the classroom. We believe that by bringing greater awareness to the topic of diversity and opening a dialogue among faculty, staff, and students we can begin to create a more equitable environment from entrance to graduation.

Recent research provides empirical support for the value of diversity in the academic and social development of college students. A summary of this literature can be found in Patricia Gurin's (1999) expert report for the University of Michigan in response to lawsuits deriving from college and law school admissions practices (see also Milem & Hakuta, 2000). Gurin argues that today, leaders need skills that allow them to work effectively in heterogeneous environments. These skills include perspective-taking, acceptance of differences, a willingness and capacity to find commonalities among differences, acceptance of conflict as normal, conflict resolution, participation in democracy, and interest in the wider social world. Students typically come to college without many of those skills. Whether they acquire them in college depends on the opportunities they have to address issues and build skills in heterogeneous groups.

Gurin (1999) focuses on three types of diversity: (1) structural diversity, or the extent to which a campus has a diverse student body; (2) classroom diversity, or the extent to which classes address knowledge about diverse groups and issues of diversity as part of the curriculum; and (3) informal interactional diversity, or the extent to which the campus provides opportunities for informal interaction across diverse groups. Gurin finds that structural diversity makes issues of diversity salient and increases students' participation in diversity workshops, their likelihood of discussing racial and ethnic issues, their socializing across race, and their having close college friends from other racial backgrounds. Drawing from contact theory (e.g., Allport, 1954), she found that structural diversity was necessary, but not sufficient to produce benefits. That is, the overall differences in level of intergroup contact occurred because in many instances, the diverse student body was coupled with classroom and informal interaction to produce the benefits. Gurin's analysis of the literature on learning outcomes found that classroom and informal diversity were particularly strong for White students. Paralleling the academic gains were greater involvement in citizenship activities, greater appreciation for differences as compatible with societal unity, and greater cross-racial interaction. Follow-up studies found that the effects lasted as long as nine years after the students entered college.

Gurin makes a compelling case for the value of diversity in preparing individuals to succeed in the midst of current global realities. To prepare leaders and effective citizens, educational institutions ought to provide an environment where students can acquire these necessary skills, many of which are difficult to teach or learn without diversity. For that very reason, many institutions have embraced creation of a diverse campus environment as a core value.

• Evaluation

Feedback from the participants will be gathered through surveys administered at each event. We will be also asking participants to share through surveys administered throughout the year how they implemented a change in their classroom or work environment using something they learned from the speakers, workshops and/or trainings. The college hopes to see a cultural shift by placing equity and diversity at the center of all dialogue, policies, and procedures. To measure this shift, we will develop and implement an annual climate survey that will be administered to faculty, staff and administrators.

F.6 Just Communities and Speakers

• Indicators/Goals to be affected by the activity

| Х | Access | Х | Degrees and Certificate Completion |
|---|----------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| Х | Course Completion | Х | Transfer |
| Х | ESL and Basic Skills Course Completion | | |

Activity Type(s)

| Outreach | | Student Equity | Instructional Support Activities |
|---------------------------|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | Coordination/Planning | |
| Student Services or other | | Curriculum/Course Development or | Direct Student Support |
| Categorical Program | | Adaptation | |
| Research and Evaluation | Х | Professional Development | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|--------------|------------------------|
| F.6 | n/a | |
| | | |

• Activity Implementation Plan

Just Communities, a local organization providing cultural competency training (http://www.just-communities.org) proposes the process described below to help Santa Barbara City College address the "equity gap" and improve outcomes for its target populations. In August 2015, Just Communities began by facilitating an intensive training process for members of the SBCC Student Equity Committee including existing faculty, administration, and staff representatives, as well as key student leaders and Student Success and Support Programs (SSSP) committee members. Through the training, attendees:

- Developed a Common Language and Framework for talking about Educational Equity at SBCC
- Developed the level of relationship and trust necessary for the Student Equity Committee to engage in and lead complex conversations about challenging equity issues within both the committee itself and the larger SBCC community.
- Discussed how the larger societal context within which SBCC operates impacts its students and its equity efforts.
- Developed an Equity Lens through which the Student Equity Committee can view its work, its processes, and its decisions.

- Practiced working with Equity Tools that will help ensure the Student Equity Committee operates through equitable processes, including decision-making tools, conflict management tools, data analysis tools, and more.
- Identified existing SBCC programs, activities, and events (such as The Leonardo Dorantes Memorial Lecture) that could be leveraged to accelerate the implementation of the Student Equity Plan by fostering a campus-wide sense of awareness and urgency around equity and the goals of the Equity Plan.

The spring 2016 in-service is planned to include a keynote speaker, panel, and workshop with Just Communities and students who will engage faculty and staff on the topic diversity and equity. In addition to the in-service activities, a series of workshops will be planned throughout the term. The topics will range from pedagogy to listening to sensitivity and we will draw upon local and national resources, including our students.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| F.6 | Summer 2015 – Spring 2016 | \$9,328 | n/a |

• Link to Goal

This activity will focus on giving the Student Equity Committee the tools, knowledge, understanding, and clarity for planning the programs and initiatives of the Student Equity Plan.

• Evaluation

Student Equity Committee members will be surveyed and/or interviewed to learn about their experiences in relation to the training they receive as part of this activity. Students will be surveyed to learn about their experiences in relation to their participation in the Equity Planning and the implementation process and whether they felt their voices were heard. Those receiving support and coaching from Just Communities will be surveyed to learn about their experiences and how helpful the support they received has been.

F.7 Diversity in Hiring Research

Activity Type(s)

| | Outreach | Student Equity Coordination/Planning | Instructional Support Activities |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Student Services or other | Curriculum/Course Development or | Direct Student Support |
| | Categorical Program | Adaptation | |
| Х | Research and Evaluation | Professional Development | |

• Target Student Group(s)

| ID | Target Group | # of Students Affected |
|-----|--------------|------------------------|
| F.7 | n/a | |
| | | |

• Activity Implementation Plan

As mentioned earlier, the findings that Hispanic and African-American students are experiencing disproportionate impact across all five indicators have caused the college concern about how it is not meeting the needs of these students. In initial discussions about these findings, the Student Equity Committee acknowledged that the absence of African-Americans and Hispanics among the faculty. This absence of role models who look like them and understand the African-American or Hispanic experience, may also contribute to Hispanic and African-American students not feeling a sense of belonging at the college. It also places a great deal of pressure on the few Hispanic and African-American faculty at the college to take on the responsibility for serving Hispanic and African-American students.

We believe more can be done to attract, hire and retain African-American and Hispanic faculty. Given the college's history of taking a deliberate and measured approach to serving our students, we felt it best to take a similar approach to researching our hiring practices and how we can improve. We will begin by assembling a team of faculty that represents various areas of the college who will conduct this research. This team will start their work with an internal examination of our hiring practices to identify areas for improvement. This examination would entail reviewing job descriptions and postings, and conducting confidential interviews with human resources staff and faculty serving on hiring committees.

We will then turn our attention to researching effective practices, both within and outside of education, aimed at ensuring diversity in hiring. This part of the project would take the form of internet research to identify colleges and other organizations who have successfully hired a diverse workforce, focusing on college and organizations that may have faced similar challenges as

the college. This research would then be followed by interviews with these colleges and organizations to learn more about their specific practices, successes, challenges and lessons learned. After collecting this information, the team will develop and present to the Student Equity Committee a detailed report summarizing its findings about the college's hiring practices and effective practices in diversity hiring and offering a proposal that outlines what practices could be implemented.

| ID | Timeline(s) | Student Equity Funds | Other Funds |
|-----|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| F.7 | February – August 2016 | \$10,000 | n/a |

• Link to Goal

Research has shown that the "performance gap in terms of class dropout rates and grade performance between white and underrepresented minority students falls by 20 to 50 percent when taught by an underrepresented minority instructor" (Fairlie, , Hoffman, & Oreopoulos. (2014). A Community College Instructor Like Me: Race and Ethnicity Interactions in the Classroom. *American Economic Review*, 104(8): 2567-2591). This same research also found lasting impact on long-term outcomes such as retention and degree completion. In addition, the RP Group's research in the Student Support (Re)defined project found that students felt they learned best when they were able to work with students different from themselves. It is our firm belief that by having faculty and staff who more closely mirror the student population, it will benefit not only the students, but the faculty and staff as well.

Evaluation

Evidence that this research project has been successful will be seen in the development of the report that presents the results from the examination of our hiring practices and a proposal that identifies how the college can begin to attract, hire and retain a more diverse workforce. The team this research will share their findings with the Student Equity Committee on a monthly basis and then submit their report and proposal to the committee upon conclusion of the project. The team will also work collaboratively with the human resources department to determine whether proposed practices are within college policies and state law or may require changes to college policies before being implemented.

Summary Budget
| 2015-16 Student Equity Plan Summary Budget |
|--------------------------------------------|
| Santa Barbara CCD |
| Santa Barbara City College |

| Part I: Student Equity Funding | | Enter whole numbers only |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Total 2015-16 College Student Equity Allocation | n <u>\$ 1,349,137</u> |
| lf a | pplicable, for Multi-College Districts, Total 2015-16 | 5 |
| Stuc | dent Equity Allocation Reserved at the District Leve | el series and ser |
| F | Part II: 2015-16 Planned Student Equity Expenditure | es \$ 1,349,137 |
| | Balance 2015-16 College Student Equity Allocatio | n \$ - |

2014-15 Student Equity Plan Summary Budget. Part I: Funding Specific Entry Instructions

This completed budget worksheet is an attachment to and part of the college Student Equity Plan narrative.

cell:

- F9 Enter your college's 2015-16 Student Equity Allocation. Due to legislative requirements, the CCCCO only calculates allocations by district. The district determines the amount allocated to each college. Colleges in multi-college districts will need to obtain their college allocation from the district office.
- F12 Multi-college districts who choose to conduct and fund student equity related activities at the district level must incorporate a description of those activities in at least one of their colleges' plans, and also include related expenditures in the Summary Budget spreadsheet. If your college is 1) part of a mult-college district, and 2) the district has chosen to conduct and fund equity related activities at the district level, and 3) the district has decided to report those activities and expenditures as part of your college plan, enter the amount of the Student Equity allocation reserved at the District level to be used for those activities. Colleges will need to obtain this information from their district office.
- F14 This cell will populate once the Part II Planned SE Expenditures section has been completed.
- F17 This cell is the sum of: Total 2015-16 Student Equity Allocation plus Allocation Reserved at the District Level minus Part II: Planned SE Expenditures.
 - 0 If all of the college 2015-16 Student Equity funds have been accounted for on this plan, then the balance should be zero.
 - If the balance is positive, then the planned expenditures do not fully expend the allocation. The
 - + college needs to review the planned expenditures and make necessary adjustments. If balance remains positive, then the funds must be returned to the Chancellor's Office.
 If the balance is negative, then then planned expenditures exceed the allocation available and
 - the college needs to review the planned expenditures and make necessary adjustments. **The Summary Budget cannot be submitted if balance is negative.**

Part II: Planned Student Equity (SE) Expenditures

Report planned expenditures of the college Stduent Equity allocation by object code as defined by the California Community Colleges Budget and Accounting Manual (BAM). Although they appear in the CCC BAM, not all expenditures categories are eligible Student Equity expenditures. Eligible and ineligible expenditures for Student Equity funds are listed below. The Activity ID and the \$ amounts to be reported under the categories: Outreach, Student Services & Categoricals, Research and Evaluation, SE Coordination & Planning, etc. must match the Activity ID and amount(s) reported for that activity in the Student Equity Plan narrative for each success indicator (Access, Course Completion, etc.).

BAM can be found at: http://extranet.cccco.edu/Divisions/FinanceFacilities/FiscalStandards/BudgetandAccountingManual.aspx

| BAM Codes | Classification | | Activity ID | Outreach | Student Servic & Categorico | | Research and Evaluation | SE | Coordination & Planning | Co | Curriculum/ ourse Dev. & Adaptation | Professional evelopment | structional Support | Direct Student Support | Total |
|--------------|-------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------------------|----|----------------------------|----|----------------------------|----|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| 1000 | Academic Salaries: Position Title(s) | # of Hours | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Faculty Inquiry Groups | 210.00 | F3 | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 10,500 | \$ - | \$- | \$ 10,500 |
| | CTE Summit | 75.00 | F4 | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 3,750 | \$ - | \$- | \$ 3,750 |
| | Disabled Student Research | 90.00 | D4 | \$- | \$ | - | \$ 4,500 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ 4,500 |
| | Tutorial Research | 90.00 | B8 | \$- | \$ | - | \$ 4,500 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ 4,500 |
| | African American Student Research | 90.00 | E4 | \$- | \$ | - | \$ 4,500 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ 4,500 |
| | Improving Basic Skills Placement Research | 90.00 | A2 | \$- | \$ | - | \$ 4,500 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ 4,500 |
| | Diversity Hiring Research | 90.00 | F8 | \$- | \$ | - | \$ 4,500 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ 4,500 |
| | ESL Bridge to CTE | 240.00 | B4 | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 12,000 | \$ - | \$- | \$ 12,000 |
| | Bio Md Early Intervention | 158.00 | B3 | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ 7,905 | \$- | \$ 7,905 |
| | HERLife | 213.00 | A1 | \$ 10,650 | \$ | - | \$- | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ 10,650 |
| | Express to Success | 675.00 | C1 | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ 33,750 | \$- | \$ 33,750 |
| | Guardian Scholars | 75.00 | D1 | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ 3,750 | \$- | \$ 3,750 |
| | STEM Transfer Program | 1174.00 | E1 | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ 58,700 | \$- | \$ 58,700 |
| | Foundations in Equity | 413.00 | E5 | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ | - | \$ | 20,625 | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ 20,625 |
| | African American Mentors | 225.00 | B2 | \$ - | \$ 11,2 | 50 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 11,250 |
| | | Subtotal | | \$ 10,650 | \$ 11,2 | 50 | \$ 22,500 | \$ | - | \$ | 20,625 | \$ 26,250 | \$ 104,105 | \$ - | \$ 195,380 |

Santa Barbara City College

| Classified and Other Nonacademic Salaries: Position Title(s) | # of Hours | Activity ID | Outreach | t Services egoricals | Research and Evaluation | SE C | Coordination & Planning | Curriculum/Cours e Dev. & Adptation | Professional Development | Instructio Suppo | | Direct Student Support | Total |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------|---------------------------|---------------|
| Math Lab Teaching Assistant | 1FTE | B1 | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$- | \$ 7 | 7,976 | \$- | \$ 77,976 |
| CTE Student Advisor | 1FTE | D2 | \$- | \$ 57,951 | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ 57,951 |
| EOPS Student Advisor | 1FTE | D3 | \$- | \$ 59,282 | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ 59,282 |
| Express to Success Advisor | 1FTE | C1 | \$- | \$ 59,282 | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ 59,282 |
| Dir. Equity/Diversity/MultiCul | 1FTE | F1 | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ | 90,000 | \$- | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ 90,000 |
| Gateway Coordinator | 1FTE | B6 | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$- | \$ 4 | 8,682 | \$- | \$ 48,682 |
| LRC Snr Office Asst. | 1FTE | B7 | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$- | \$ 4 | 6,322 | \$- | \$ 46,322 |
| Research and Assessment Analyst | 1FTE | F2 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 36,100 | \$ | - | \$- | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ 36,100 |
| STEM Transfer Program Student Advisor | 1FTE | E3 | \$- | \$ 53,384 | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ 53,384 |
| STEM Transfer Program Coord | 1FTE | E2 | \$- | \$ 62,406 | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ 62,406 |
| Express to Success Program Tutors | 3,600.00 | C1 | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ | - | \$ - | \$- | \$5 | 4,000 | \$- | \$ 54,000 |
| STEM Transfer Program Tutors | 789.00 | E1 | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$- | \$1 | 1,839 | \$- | \$ 11,839 |
| HERLife Hourly | 360.00 | A1 | \$ 5,400 | \$ - | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ 5,400 |
| Bio Md Early Intervention Tutors | 231.00 | B3 | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$- | \$ | 3,474 | \$- | \$ 3,474 |
| Guardian Scholars Tutors | 1,320.00 | D1 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$- | \$1 | 9,800 | \$ - | \$ 19,800 |
| Express to Success Center Hourly | 1,800.00 | C1 | \$- | \$ 27,000 | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$- | \$ | - | \$- | \$ 27,000 |
| Adjunct Librarian | 520.00 | B5 | \$ - | \$ 7,800 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ 7,800 |
| STEM Transfer Program Center Hrly | 840.00 | E1 | \$ - | \$ 12,600 | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ 12,600 |
| | Subtotal | | \$ 5,400 | \$ 339,705 | \$ 36,100 | \$ | 90,000 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 26 | 2,093 | \$ - | \$ 733,298 |

Santa Barbara City College

| Employee Benefits | Activity ID | Outreach | Student Services & Categoricals | Research and Evaluation | SE Coordination & Planning | Curriculum/Cours e Dev. & Adptation | Professional Development | Instructional Support | Direct Student Support | Total |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------|----------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| Faculty Inquiry Groups | F3 | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ 3,500 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 3,500 |
| CTE Summit | F4 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 1,250 | \$- | \$- | \$ 1,250 |
| Disabled Student Research | D4 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 1,500 | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ 1,500 |
| Tutorial Research | B8 | \$- | \$- | \$ 1,500 | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 1,500 |
| African American Student Research | E4 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 1,500 | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 1,500 |
| Improving Basic Skills Placement Research | A2 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 1,500 | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ 1,500 |
| Diversity Hiring Research | F8 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 1,500 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 1,50 |
| ESL Bridge to CTE | B4 | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ 4,000 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 4,00 |
| Bio Md Early Intervention | B3 | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 2,635 | \$ - | \$ 2,63 |
| HERLife | A1 | \$ 3,550 | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 3,55 |
| Express to Success | C1 | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 11,250 | \$ - | \$ 11,25 |
| Guardian Scholars | D1 | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 1,250 | \$ - | \$ 1,25 |
| STEM Transfer Program | E1 | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 19,300 | \$ - | \$ 19,30 |
| Foundations in Equity | E5 | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ 6,875 | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 6,87 |
| African American Mentors | B2 | \$- | \$ 3,750 | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 3,75 |
| Math Lab Teaching Assistant | B! | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 19,494 | \$ - | \$ 19,49 |
| CTE Student Advisor | D2 | \$- | \$ 19,124 | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 19,12 |
| EOPS StudentAdvisor | D3 | \$- | \$ 19,563 | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 19,56 |
| Express to Success Student Advisor | C1 | \$- | \$ 19,563 | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 19,56 |
| Dir. Equity/Diversity/MultiCul | F1 | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 29,700 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 29,70 |
| Gateway Coordinator | B6 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ 12,171 | \$ - | \$ 12,17 |
| LRC Snr Office Asst. | B7 | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 11,581 | \$ - | \$ 11,58 |
| Research and Assessment Analyst | F2 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 11,913 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 11,91 |
| STEM Transfer Program StudentAdvisor | E3 | \$- | \$ 17,617 | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ 17,61 |
| STEM Transfer Program Coord | E2 | \$ - | \$ 20,594 | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 20,59 |
| Express to Success Program Tutors | C1 | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 6,000 | \$ - | \$ 6,00 |
| STEM Transfer Program Tutors | E1 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,315 | \$ - | \$ 1,31 |
| HERLife Hourly | A1 | \$ 600 | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 60 |
| Bio Md Early Intervention Tutors | B3 | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 386 | \$ - | \$ 38 |
| Guardian Scholars Tutors | D1 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,200 | \$ - | \$ 2,20 |
| Express to Success Center Hourly | E1 | \$ - | \$ 3,000 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,00 |
| Adjunct Librarian | B5 | \$ - | \$ 700 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 70 |
| STEM Transfer Program Center Hrly | E1 | \$ - | \$ 1,400 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,40 |
| Subtota | 1 | \$ 4,150 | \$ 105,311 | \$ 19,413 | \$ 29,700 | \$ 6,875 | \$ 8,750 | \$ 87,582 | \$ - | \$ 261,78 |

Santa Barbara City College

| 4000 | Supplies & Materials | Activity ID | Outreach | Student Services & Categoricals | Research and Evaluation | SE Coordination & Planning | Curriculum/Cours e Dev. & Adptation | Professional Development | Instructional Support | Direct Student Support | Total |
|------|-------------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
| | Express to Success Program | C1 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ 10,000 | \$ 10,000 |
| | HERLife | A1 | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 3,450 | \$ 3,450 |
| | Guardian Scholars | D1 | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ 18,000 | \$ 18,000 |
| | STEM Transfer Program | E1 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 6,000 | \$ 6,000 |
| | | | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - |
| | | | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ - |
| | | | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - |
| | Subtotal | | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ 37,450 | \$ 37,450 |
| 5000 | Other Operating Expenses and Services | Activity ID | Outreach | Student Services & Categoricals | Research and Evaluation | SE Coordination & Planning | Curriculum/Cours e Dev. & Adptation | Professional Development | Instructional Support | Direct Student Support | Total |
| | Disabled Student Research | D4 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 4,000 | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 4,000 |
| | Tutorial Research | B8 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 4,000 | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 4,000 |
| | African American Research | E4 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 4,000 | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 4,000 |
| | Improving Basic Skills Placement Research | A2 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 4,000 | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ 4,000 |
| | Diversity Hiring Research | F8 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 4,000 | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ 4,000 |
| | Express to Success Program | C1 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 10,000 | \$- | \$- | \$ 10,000 |
| | STEM Transfer Program | E1 | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ 17,000 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 17,000 |
| | African American campus visits & events | B2 | \$ - | \$ 12,000 | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ 12,000 |
| | HERLife High School Transportation | A1 | \$ 5,000 | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 5,000 |
| | Express to Success Orientation | C1 | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ 8,000 | \$ 8,000 |
| | HERLIfe Meeting Expense | A1 | \$ 2,800 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ 2,800 |
| | African American Events | B2 | \$- | \$ 1,000 | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 1,000 |
| | STEM Transfer Program Meeting Expense | E1 | \$ - | \$ 5,000 | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ 5,000 |
| | Faculty Inquiry Groups Consultants | F3 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 1,000 | \$- | \$- | \$ 1,000 |
| | HERLife Consultants | A1 | \$ 5,000 | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 5,000 |
| | Guardian Scholars Consultants | D1 | \$- | \$ 5,000 | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 5,000 |
| | Diversity Training Consultants | F7 | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ 10,000 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 10,000 |
| | Just Communities Consultants | F6 | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$ 9,328 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 9,328 |
| | African American Mentors Constultants | B2 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 2,500 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 2,500 |
| | Subtotal | | \$ 12,800 | \$ 23,000 | \$ 20,000 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 49,828 | \$ - | \$ 8,000 | \$ 113,628 |

Santa Barbara City College

| 6000 | Capital Outlay | Activity ID | Outreach | Student Services & Categoricals | Research and Evaluation | SE Coordination & Planning | Curriculum/Cours e Dev. & Adptation | Professional Development | Instructional Support | Direct Student Support | Total |
|------|----------------------------------|----------------|-----------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | Bio Md Early Intervention-Models | B3 | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ 7,600 | \$- | \$ 7,600 |
| | | | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - |
| | | | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - |
| | | | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| | | | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - |
| | | | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ - |
| | | | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ - |
| | Subtotal | | \$- | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ 7,600 | \$ - | \$ 7,600 |
| 7000 | Other Outgo | Activity ID | Outreach | Other Student Services | Research and Evaluation | SE Coordination & Planning | Curriculum/Cours e Dev. & Adptation | Professional Development | Instructional Support | Direct Student Support | Total |
| | | | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ - |
| | | | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - |
| | | | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - |
| | Subtotal | | \$ - | \$ - | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$ - | \$- | \$ - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| · | Grand Total | | \$ 33,000 | \$ 479,266 | \$ 98,013 | \$ 119,700 | \$ 27,500 | \$ 84,828 | \$ 461,380 | \$ 45,450 | \$ 1,349,137 |

| 2015-16 Student Equity Plan Summary Budget |
|--------------------------------------------|
| Santa Barbara CCD |
| Santa Barbara City College |

Part II: Planned Student Equity (SE) Expenditures

Student Equity Plan 2015-16 Budget Part II: Planned SE Expenditures Other Instructions

A complete list of eligible and ineligible uses of student equity funds is available on the CCCCO website at http://extranet.cccco.edu/Divisions/StudentServices/StudentEquity.aspx. Funding listed for specific activities in the plan narrative, must also be entered into the Summary Budget spreadsheet. Equity funds are intended to augment programs or services for students. Districts and colleges cannot use equity funds to supplant funding for programs, positions or services funded from another source, prior to the availability of equity funds in the 2014-15 FY. Multi-college districts who choose to conduct and fund student equity related activities at the district level must incorporate a description of those activities in one or several of their college's plans, and also include related expenditures in the Summary Budget spreadsheet for that college or colleges. The spreadsheet has a separate signature page from the narrative that requires the signature of the district chief business officer and the district chancellor or chief executive officer, since districts are the legal fiscal agent for student equity funds.

Eligible expenditures:

- 1. Targeted outreach to potential student groups and communities identified in the Student Equity Plan as being from disproportionately impacted groups, including targeted publications and outreach materials.
- 2. Student services and student services categorical programs that directly support improved outcomes on success indicators for target populations prioritized in the Student Equity Plan.
- 3. Research and evaluation related to improving student equity.
- 4. Hiring a student equity program coordinator and staff directly supporting and implementing student equity activities.
- 5. Support for student equity planning processes.
- 6. Food and beverages for equity-related planning meetings, professional development or student gatherings.
- 7. Professional development, including funding of consultants to educate faculty and staff on the effects of inequities and strategies to reduce them; methods for detecting and researching inequities and their effects on college programs and local communities; improving the use of data, and effective practices and methods for addressing and improving outcomes for under-served students.
- 8. Developing or adapting academic or career-related programs, curriculum and courses to improve student equity outcomes.
- 9. Providing embedded tutoring, counseling support for learning communities, and other instructional support services that do not generate FTES.
- 10. In-State travel in support of student equity. Out-of-state travel for college employees or students will be considered on a case-by-case basis, with prior approval from the Chancellor's Office.
- 11. Computers and related peripherals to be used primarily by students, excluding large scale technology projects.
- 12. Other Direct Student Support including books, miscellaneous supplies and materials for students, student transportation, and child care.

Ineligible Expenditures:

1. Construction, maintenance or purchase of buildings -- Student Equity funds may not be used for the construction, remodeling, renovation, maintenance or purchase of buildings.

- 2. Gifts -- Public funds, including Student Equity funds, may not be used for gifts or monetary awards of any kind.
- 3. Stipends for Students -- Student Equity funds cannot be used to pay stipends to students for participation in student equity activities.

4. Computers and related technology to be used primarily by faculty and staff, office supplies and furniture – Student Equity funds cannot be used for purchasing computers for use by employees, office supplies or furniture (desks, chairs, bookcases, etc.)

5. Other Administrative, Faculty or Staff Salaries and Benefits -- Student Equity funds cannot be used to pay for any staff or administrative overhead costs that do not directly support Student Equity described in the college's approved plan, such as budget office staff, business office staff, etc.

- 6. Political or Professional Dues, Memberships, or Contributions Student Equity funds cannot be used for these fees or expenses.
- 7. Rental of Off-Campus Space -- Student Equity funds may not be to pay for off-campus space.
- 8. Legal and Audit Expenses -- Student Equity funds may not be used to pay for legal or audit expenses.
- 9. Indirect Costs -- Student Equity funds may not be used to pay for indirect costs, such as heat, electricity, or janitorial services.
- 10. Unrelated Travel Costs -- Student Equity funds may not be used for the cost of travel not directly related to Student Equity activities or functions.
- 11. Vehicles -- Student Equity funds may not be used to purchase or lease vehicles.
- 12. Clothing -- Student Equity funds may not be used to purchase clothing such as jackets, sweatshirts, tee shirts, or graduation regalia (with the exception of required work uniforms for students).
- 13. Courses -- Student Equity funds may not be used to pay for the delivery of courses, including tutoring and supplemental instruction that generate FTES.
- 14. Unrelated Research -- Student Equity funds may not be used for institutional research that is not directly related to evaluating or improving Student Equity outcomes.

15. Supplanting -- Student Equity funds may not be used to supplant general or state categorical (restricted) district funds expended on Student Equity activities prior to the availability of Student Equity funding beginning in FY 2014-15. Any direct student support provided should supplement, not supplant any services provided to students currently participating in college categorical programs and any other federal, state, and county programs.

Summary Evaluation



SUMMARY EVALUATION SCHEDULE AND PROCESS

Santa Barbara City College is dedicated to continuous improvement. We have a long history of evaluating any and all efforts aimed at improving student success. Every new initiative or program implemented at the college is required to establish both formative and summative measures designed to identify areas for improvement, and document the impact on student success. We employ both quantitative and qualitative methods to examine objective data on student achievement, while also giving our students a voice and ensuring that voice is being heard and used for improvement. Results are examined both in the short term to determine immediate effects and use that information to make needed course corrections, and over the long term to ensure that we do not lose sight of the bigger picture of students achieving their completion goals. Our approach to the equity plan will be no different.

A more detailed evaluation plan will be developed for each individual activity in the plan by the person who is serving as the lead in working with the Office of Institutional Research Assessment and Planning, are together responsible for ensuring that the evaluation plan is conducted. The evaluation plans will specify who is responsible for ensuring that data are being collected each semester to document whether the activity is having the intended short- and long-term impact on students and their success.

Each activity lead will be required to submit an analysis of these data after the conclusion of each semester to the Student Equity Committee (SEC). At least one SEC meeting each fall and spring semester will be dedicated to reviewing all of these analysis reports. Based on this review, the SEC will provide targeted feedback to each activity designed to assist in the identification of what is working well, what needs improvement and what changes could be made. Each activity lead will be expected to respond in their next analysis report about how they used the committee's feedback to improve. The SEC will use these analysis reports as the key component in any decisions to continue funding to ongoing activities. The SEC will forward all recommendations for the continuation or discontinuation of funding for activities to the academic senate and the College Planning Council (CPC), the college's shared governance recommending body to the president.

In addition, departments taking the lead in implementing an equity-funded activity will be expected to include that activity in their annual program review. The program review process requires departments to identify the relationship between the expected outcomes of the activity and one or more of the strategic goals and objectives in the college's Educational Master Plan. The measures used to evaluate the degree to which an equity-funded activity is meeting its intended goals and outcomes are included in the college's Measures of Institutional Effectiveness and incorporated into the Educational Master Plan. These measures correspond to those in the student equity plan in the areas of access; basic skills/ESL completion and transition and success in the first transfer-level courses in English and math; course completion rates; college persistence rates; certificate and degree completion; and transfer to a four-year institution. All evaluation data used to assess the progress being made to achieve the desired outcomes of equity-funded activities will be analyzed for each of the targeted student population groups.

A significant portion of the new research and assessment analyst's time will be devoted to working with the individual activity leads in all aspects of the evaluation, from helping to design the evaluation and related research to collecting and analyzing the data. More specifically, the research and assessment analyst will assist with both quantitative and qualitative assessments by extracting and analyzing data from the college's student information system, while also developing survey instruments, analyzing survey data, developing focus group protocols, and where appropriate conducting focus groups.

The other primary responsibility of the research and assessment analyst will be to track the college's performance in relation to closing the identified equity gaps. The analyst will analyze the data for all targeted groups on all five indicators and share these data with the SEC on a semester or annual basis depending on the indicator.

Appendices

Appendix A: Math Lab Success Rates by Number of Visits Fall 2006 – Spring 2015

| Fall Terms | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Fall | <u>2006</u> | Fall 2 | <u>2007</u> | Fall 2 | <u>2008</u> | Fall 2 | <u>2009</u> | Fall | <u>2010</u> |
| | Suce | cess | Suco | cess | Suco | cess | Suco | cess | Suc | cess |
| Visits | Rate | Count | Rate | Count | Rate | Count | Rate | Count | Rate | Count |
| One | 56.5% | 177 | 48.0% | 175 | 53.1% | 367 | 59.7% | 380 | 61.1% | 228 |
| Two | 62.1% | 95 | 59.8% | 117 | 58.0% | 207 | 69.7% | 228 | 65.0% | 156 |
| Three to | 51.8% | 114 | 57.3% | 124 | 62.2% | 304 | 68.6% | 271 | 68.4% | 156 |
| Four | | | | | | | | | | |
| Five to | 52.7% | 131 | 55.6% | 133 | 57.5% | 301 | 66.1% | 295 | 67.3% | 210 |
| Nine | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ten to 19 | 69.7% | 109 | 61.8% | 76 | 70.4% | 267 | 74.2% | 221 | 79.3% | 172 |
| 20 or more | 79.1% | 43 | 75.0% | 24 | 81.5% | 124 | 83.5% | 127 | 92.5% | 98 |
| All Users | 59.3% | 669 | 56.1% | 649 | 61.5% | 1,570 | 68.1% | 1,522 | 68.5% | 1,020 |
| Non-Users | 53.1% | 2,127 | 53.3% | 2,131 | 52.6% | 2,690 | 53.1% | 2,912 | 56.2% | 1,745 |
| Difference | 6.2% | | 2.8% | | 9.0% | | 15.0% | | 12.3% | |

| | <u>Fall</u> 2 | <u>2011</u> | Fall 2 | 2012 | Fall 2 | <u>2013</u> | Fall 2 | <u>2014</u> |
|------------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| | Succ | cess | Suco | cess | Suce | cess | Suco | cess |
| Visits | Rate | Count | Rate | Count | Rate | Count | Rate | Count |
| One | 61.3% | 204 | 64.4% | 251 | 62.8% | 235 | 61.4% | 162 |
| Two | 66.5% | 113 | 62.8% | 113 | 67.2% | 127 | 67.8% | 103 |
| Three to Four | 70.2% | 177 | 59.7% | 148 | 61.5% | 115 | 61.7% | 66 |
| Five to Nine | 69.9% | 181 | 64.9% | 172 | 62.0% | 134 | 70.5% | 98 |
| Ten to 19 | 76.9% | 153 | 68.5% | 124 | 67.6% | 98 | 76.1% | 102 |
| 20 or more | 82.5% | 156 | 86.8% | 171 | 70.6% | 72 | 81.7% | 107 |
| All Users | 70.2% | 984 | 67.0% | 979 | 64.4% | 781 | 68.8% | 638 |
| Non-Users | 55.4% | 1,734 | 58.7% | 2,025 | 60.7% | 2,144 | 58.7% | 2,098 |
| Difference | 14.8% | | 8.3% | | 3.7% | | 10.1% | |

| Spring Ter | ms | | | | | | | | | |
|------------|-------|---------|-------------|----------|-------------|---------|-------------|----------|---------------|---------------|
| | | ng 2007 | <u>Spri</u> | ing 2008 | <u>Spri</u> | ng 2009 | <u>Spri</u> | ing 2010 | <u>Spring</u> | <u>g 2011</u> |
| | Suce | cess | Suce | cess | Suce | cess | Suco | cess | Suc | cess |
| Visits | Rate | Count | Rate | Count | Rate | Count | Rate | Count | Rate | Count |
| One | 59.5% | 173 | 54.0% | 213 | 60.1% | 323 | 61.1% | 334 | 60.6% | 234 |
| Two | 64.5% | 107 | 60.9% | 115 | 65.5% | 206 | 71.6% | 190 | 66.8% | 155 |
| Three to | 69.2% | 104 | 76.6% | 158 | 61.0% | 236 | 72.0% | 264 | 66.4% | 178 |
| Four | | | | | | | | | | |
| Five to | 59.0% | 105 | 55.0% | 140 | 68.5% | 276 | 65.0% | 266 | 65.2% | 249 |
| Nine | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ten to 19 | 72.5% | 69 | 63.5% | 52 | 72.0% | 200 | 71.0% | 217 | 75.5% | 191 |
| 20 or more | 61.9% | 42 | 82.4% | 17 | 82.2% | 101 | 85.2% | 162 | 83.1% | 123 |
| All Users | 63.7% | 600 | 61.9% | 695 | 66.2% | 1,342 | 69.4% | 1,433 | 67.7% | 1,130 |
| Non-Users | 53.7% | 1,723 | 51.2% | 2,110 | 53.9% | 2,598 | 52.8% | 2,588 | 55.2% | 1,602 |
| Difference | 10.0% | | 10.7% | | 12.4% | | 16.7% | | 12.5% | |

| | <u>Spring</u> | <u>g 2012</u> | <u>Spring</u> | <u>g 2013</u> | <u>Spring</u> | <u>g 2014</u> | <u>Spring 2015</u> | | |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|-------|--|
| | Suco | cess | Suce | cess | Suc | cess | Suco | cess | |
| Visits | Rate | Count | Rate | Count | Rate | Count | Rate | Count | |
| One | 61.7% | 216 | 67.1% | 210 | 71.7% | 213 | 71.8% | 186 | |
| Two | 67.0% | 148 | 68.4% | 128 | 62.8% | 86 | 64.7% | 90 | |
| Three to Four | 68.2% | 165 | 65.3% | 160 | 66.1% | 84 | 73.3% | 85 | |
| Five to Nine | 65.7% | 186 | 68.8% | 137 | 61.3% | 95 | 70.0% | 112 | |
| Ten to 19 | 72.0% | 162 | 72.3% | 120 | 73.9% | 102 | 74.8% | 83 | |
| 20 or more | 91.1% | 154 | 67.4% | 151 | 88.7% | 134 | 83.1% | 128 | |
| All Users | 69.2% | 1,031 | 67.9% | 906 | 71.0% | 714 | 72.8% | 684 | |
| Non-Users | 56.7% | 1,608 | 58.9% | 1,955 | 58.8% | 1,981 | 59.9% | 2,061 | |
| Difference | 12.5% | | 9.1% | | 12.3% | | 12.9% | | |

Appendix B: Express to Success Program Fall 2011 – Fall 2014 Cohorts Completion of Two Basic Skills Levels

Charts show that students in the Express to Success Program (ESP) are much more likely to complete two levels in the accelerated format of ESP than students pursuing the traditional courses that require two semesters to complete two levels, and this difference is much more pronounced among Hispanic and low-income students.





Appendix C: STEM Transfer Program (STP) Comparison of Hispanic and/or Low Income STEM Majors and STP Students Enrollment and Success Fall 2012 - Fall 2014

Fall 2012

STP students achieved a <u>higher overall</u> success rate than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students achieved a <u>higher</u> success rate in <u>math</u> than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>much more likely</u> to be enrolled in a <u>math</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students' success rate in <u>science</u> was <u>slightly lower</u> than that of Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>more likely</u> to be enrolled in a <u>science</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>more likely</u> to be enrolled in a <u>science</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students achieved a <u>higher</u> success rate in <u>English</u> than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>much more likely</u> to be enrolled in an <u>English</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors

Spring 2013

STP students achieved a <u>higher overall</u> success rate than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students achieved a <u>higher</u> success rate in <u>math</u> than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>much more likely</u> to be enrolled in a <u>math</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students achieved a <u>higher</u> success rate in <u>science</u> than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>more likely</u> to be enrolled in a <u>science</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>more likely</u> to be enrolled in a <u>science</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students achieved a <u>higher</u> success rate in <u>English</u> than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>much more likely</u> to be enrolled in an <u>English</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors

Fall 2013

STP students achieved a <u>higher overall</u> success rate than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students achieved a <u>higher</u> success rate in <u>math</u> than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>much more likely</u> to be enrolled in a <u>math</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students' success rate in <u>science</u> was <u>slightly lower</u> than that of Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>more likely</u> to be enrolled in a <u>science</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>more likely</u> to be enrolled in a <u>science</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students achieved a <u>slightly higher</u> success rate in <u>English</u> than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>much more likely</u> to be enrolled in an <u>English</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors

Spring 2014

STP students achieved a <u>higher overall</u> success rate than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students achieved a <u>higher</u> success rate in <u>math</u> than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>much more likely</u> to be enrolled in a <u>math</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students achieved a <u>lower</u> success rate in <u>science</u> than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>more likely</u> to be enrolled in a <u>science</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>more likely</u> to be enrolled in a <u>science</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students achieved a <u>higher</u> success rate in <u>English</u> than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>much more likely</u> to be enrolled in an <u>English</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors **STP students** were <u>much more likely</u> to be enrolled in an <u>English</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors **STP students** were <u>much more likely</u> to be enrolled in an <u>English</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors **STP students** were <u>much more likely</u> to be enrolled in an <u>English</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors **Fall 2014** STP students achieved a <u>slightly higher overall</u> success rate than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students achieved a <u>lower</u> success rate in <u>math</u> than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>more likely</u> to be enrolled in a <u>math</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students achieved a <u>higher</u> success rate in <u>science</u> than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>more likely</u> to be enrolled in a <u>science</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>more likely</u> to be enrolled in a <u>science</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students achieved a <u>higher</u> success rate in <u>English</u> than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors STP students were <u>more likely</u> to be enrolled in an <u>English</u> course than Hispanic and/or low income STEM majors

Overall Success Rates

| | Non-STP Majors | | | STP Cohort | | | Difference |
|-------------|----------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|---------|------------|
| | Enrollment | Success | Success | Enrollment | Success | Success | |
| | Count | Count | Rate | Count | Count | Rate | |
| Fall 2012 | 4,051 | 2,925 | 72.2% | 559 | 424 | 75.8% | 3.6% |
| Spring 2013 | 4,265 | 3,000 | 70.3% | 661 | 493 | 74.6% | 4.2% |
| Fall 2013 | 4,405 | 3,057 | 69.4% | 671 | 499 | 74.4% | 5.0% |
| Spring 2014 | 4,268 | 2,910 | 68.2% | 855 | 627 | 73.3% | 5.2% |
| Fall 2014 | 4,187 | 2,767 | 66.1% | 985 | 680 | 69.0% | 3.0% |

Math Success Rates

| | N | on-STP Major | ſS | | Difference | | |
|-------------|------------|--------------|---------|------------|------------|---------|-------|
| | Enrollment | Success | Success | Enrollment | Success | Success | |
| | Count | Count | Rate | Count | Count | Rate | |
| Fall 2012 | 620 | 386 | 62.3% | 108 | 70 | 64.8% | 2.6% |
| Spring 2013 | 647 | 396 | 61.2% | 135 | 87 | 64.4% | 3.2% |
| Fall 2013 | 666 | 409 | 61.4% | 138 | 88 | 63.8% | 2.4% |
| Spring 2014 | 623 | 383 | 61.5% | 178 | 114 | 64.0% | 2.6% |
| Fall 2014 | 661 | 396 | 59.9% | 187 | 103 | 55.1% | -4.8% |

Math Enrollment Rates

| | N | on-STP Majo | rs | | Difference | | |
|-------------|--------|-------------|------------|--------|------------|------------|-------|
| | Cohort | Enrollment | Enrollment | Cohort | Enrollment | Enrollment | |
| | Count | Count | Rate | Count | Count | Rate | |
| Fall 2012 | 1,175 | 540 | 46.0% | 139 | 92 | 66.2% | 20.2% |
| Spring 2013 | 1,256 | 574 | 45.7% | 165 | 117 | 70.9% | 25.2% |
| Fall 2013 | 1,251 | 611 | 48.8% | 170 | 119 | 70.0% | 21.2% |
| Spring 2014 | 1,304 | 565 | 43.3% | 215 | 178 | 82.8% | 39.5% |
| Fall 2014 | 1,222 | 579 | 47.4% | 254 | 167 | 65.7% | 18.4% |

Science Success Rates

| | Non-STP Majors | | | STP Cohort | | | Difference |
|-------------|----------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|---------|------------|
| | Enrollment | Success | Success | Enrollment | Success | Success | |
| | Count | Count | Rate | Count | Count | Rate | |
| Fall 2012 | 1,300 | 997 | 76.7% | 184 | 140 | 76.1% | -0.6% |
| Spring 2013 | 1,407 | 1,038 | 73.8% | 195 | 152 | 77.9% | 4.2% |
| Fall 2013 | 1,486 | 1,102 | 74.2% | 209 | 153 | 73.2% | -1.0% |
| Spring 2014 | 1,489 | 1,104 | 74.1% | 261 | 188 | 72.0% | -2.1% |
| Fall 2014 | 1,389 | 978 | 70.4% | 347 | 253 | 72.9% | 2.5% |

Science Enrollment Rates

| | N | on-STP Majo | rs | | STP Cohort | | |
|-------------|--------|-------------|------------|--------|------------|------------|------|
| | Cohort | Enrollment | Enrollment | Cohort | Enrollment | Enrollment | |
| | Count | Count | Rate | Count | Count | Rate | |
| Fall 2012 | 1,175 | 749 | 63.7% | 139 | 89 | 64.0% | 0.3% |
| Spring 2013 | 1,256 | 803 | 63.9% | 165 | 115 | 69.7% | 5.8% |
| Fall 2013 | 1,251 | 804 | 64.3% | 170 | 124 | 72.9% | 8.7% |
| Spring 2014 | 1,304 | 850 | 65.2% | 215 | 157 | 73.0% | 7.8% |
| Fall 2014 | 1,222 | 792 | 64.8% | 254 | 176 | 69.3% | 4.5% |

English Success Rates

| | Non-STP Majors | | | STP Cohort | | | Difference |
|-------------|----------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|---------|------------|
| | Enrollment | Success | Success | Enrollment | Success | Success | |
| | Count | Count | Rate | Count | Count | Rate | |
| Fall 2012 | 530 | 389 | 73.4% | 98 | 80 | 81.6% | 8.2% |
| Spring 2013 | 476 | 339 | 71.2% | 134 | 102 | 76.1% | 4.9% |
| Fall 2013 | 560 | 379 | 67.7% | 122 | 83 | 68.0% | 0.4% |
| Spring 2014 | 434 | 295 | 68.0% | 128 | 91 | 71.1% | 3.1% |
| Fall 2014 | 559 | 388 | 69.4% | 140 | 101 | 72.1% | 2.7% |

English Enrollment Rates

| | Non-STP Majors | | | | STP Cohort | | | |
|-------------|----------------|------------|------------|--------|------------|------------|-------|--|
| | Cohort | Enrollment | Enrollment | Cohort | Enrollment | Enrollment | | |
| | Count | Count | Rate | Count | Count | Rate | | |
| Fall 2012 | 1,175 | 366 | 31.1% | 139 | 66 | 47.5% | 16.3% | |
| Spring 2013 | 1,256 | 347 | 27.6% | 165 | 82 | 49.7% | 22.1% | |
| Fall 2013 | 1,251 | 393 | 31.4% | 170 | 87 | 51.2% | 19.8% | |
| Spring 2014 | 1,304 | 307 | 23.5% | 215 | 128 | 59.5% | 36.0% | |
| Fall 2014 | 1,222 | 388 | 31.8% | 254 | 92 | 36.2% | 4.5% | |